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1 Introduction


Definition 1.1 If a semifield $R$; i.e., a division ring $R$ that has the structure of a topological group such that $(x, y) \rightarrow x + y$ (sum) and $(x, y) \rightarrow x \cdot y$ (product) are both continuous mappings of $R \times R \rightarrow R$, then $R$ is called a topological ring. If a topological ring $F$ is a field (not necessarily commutative) such that $x \rightarrow x^{-1}$ (inverse element) is a continuous mapping of $F^* = F \setminus \{0\}$ into $F^*$ then $F$ is called a topological semifield.
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Let $E$ be a topological semifield and let all its positive elements be in $K$. For any two elements $x$ and $y$ of $E$, we put $x < y$ if $y - x$ is in $K$. Every topological semifield $E$ contains a sub semifield which is isomorphic to the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, (see [1]). This subsemifield is called the axis of $E$. Each topological semifield can be regarded as a topological linear space over the field $\mathbb{R}$ by identifying the axis and $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $d$ be a mapping of $X \times X \to E$, satisfying the usual axioms for a metric. The ordered triple $(X, d, E)$ is called the metric space over the topological semifield, see [1], [2], [5] and [6].

Let $X$ be a linear space over the field $\mathbb{R}$. If there exists a mapping $k : X \to E$ satisfying the usual axioms for a norm, then the ordered triple $(X, k, E)$ is called a feeble normed space over the topological semifield, see [1], [4] and [6].

2 Main Results

Now we use the following definitions:

**Definition 2.1** A subset $S$ of a linear topological space $E$ is said to be sequentially complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence in $S$ converges to a point in $S$.

**Definition 2.2** Let $(X, \| \cdot \|, E)$ be a feeble normed space over a topological semifield $E$ and let $d(x, y) = \| x - y \|$ for all $x, y$ in $X$. A space $(X, \| \cdot \|, E)$ is called a Banach space over a topological semifield $E$ if $(X, d, E)$ is a sequentially complete metric space over the topological semifield $E$.

**Definition 2.3** A point $u$ of $X$ is said to be coincidence point of a pair of mappings $(A, S)$ if there exists $t \in X$ such that $St = Tt$.

**Definition 2.4** [3] Two self mappings $A$ and $S$ of $X$ is said to be weakly compatible if there exist a point $u \in X$ such that $ASu = SAu$ whenever $Au = Su$.

Pathak et.al. [8] gave the following result.

**Theorem A.** [8] Let $X$ be a Banach space over a topological semifield $E$. Let $A, B, S$ and $T$ be four continuous self mappings of $X$ which commute with each other and satisfy the following conditions:

\[ p\|Sx - Ty\|^m + \|Sx - Ax\|^m << q\|Ty - By\|^m, \]
\[ p\|Sx - Ty\|^m + \|Ty - By\|^m << q\|Sx - Ax\|^m \]

for all $x, y \in X$, where $p, m > 0$, and $0 < q < 1$. Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined recursively by:

\[ y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+1} = (1-t)Tx_{2n} + tBx_{2n}, \]
\[ y_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+2} = (1-t)Sx_{2n+1} + tAx_{2n+1}, \]
where \( x_0 \) is a point in \( X \), \( 0 < t < 1 \) and \( 0 \leq q - pt^m < 1 \), converges to the unique common fixed point of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) in \( X \).

We note here that Theorem A should have contained the extra condition that the range of \( S \) contained the range of \((1 - t)T + tB\) and the range of \( T \) contained the range of \((1 - t)S + tA\).

We now prove our first theorem in which we have dropped the condition of “pairwise commutativity of mappings” of Theorem A by restricting the parameters \( p \) and \( q \) as follows:

**Theorem 2.5** Let \( X \) be a Banach space over a topological semifield \( E \). Let \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) be four continuous self mappings of \( X \), satisfying the following conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
& p\|Sx - Ty\|^m + \|Sx - Ax\|^m << q\|Ty - By\|^m, \tag{2.1} \\
& p\|Sx - Ty\|^m + \|Ty - By\|^m << q\|Sx - Ax\|^m \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( x, y \in X \), where \( p, m > 0 \), and \( q > p \). Suppose that the range of \( S \) contains the range of \((1 - t)T + tB\) and the range of \( T \) contains the range of \((1 - t)S + tA\), where \( 0 < t < 1 \) and \( 0 < q - p < q - pt^m < q < 1 \). Then \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let \( x_0 \) be an arbitrary point in \( X \) and put \( y_1 = (1 - t)Tx_0 + tBx_0 \). Since the the range of \( S \) contains the range of \((1 - t)T + tB\), there exists a point \( x_1 \) in \( X \) such that \( Sx_1 = y_1 \). Now put \( y_2 = (1 - t)Sx_0 + tAx_1 \). Since the the range of \( T \) contains the range of \((1 - t)S + tA\), there exists a point \( x_2 \) in \( X \) such that \( Tx_2 = y_2 \). More generally, having chosen the point \( x_{2n} \) in \( X \), we choose a point \( x_{2n+1} \), such that

\[
Sx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1} = (1 - t)Tx_{2n} + tBx_{2n} \tag{2.3}
\]

and then choose a point \( x_{2n+2} \), such that

\[
Tx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+2} = (1 - t)Sx_{2n+1} + tAx_{2n+1} \tag{2.4}
\]

for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \)

From (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
& \|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\| = t\|Bx_{2n} - Tx_{2n}\|, \tag{2.5} \\
& \|Tx_{2n+2} - Sx_{2n+1}\| = t\|Ax_{2n+1} - Sx_{2n+1}\|. \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
\]

If we put \( x = x_{2n+1} \) and \( y = x_{2n} \) in (2.3), we have from (2.5) and (2.6)

\[
p\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|^m + \|Sx_{2n+1} - Ax_{2n+1}\|^m << q\|Tx_{2n} - Bx_{2n}\|^m.
\]

Then from (2.5) and (2.6), we have

\[
p\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|^m + t^{-m}\|Tx_{2n+2} - Sx_{2n+1}\|^m \\
<< qt^{-m}\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|^m
\]
and then
\[ \|Tx_{2n+2} - Sx_{2n+1}\|^m < (q - pt^m)\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|^m. \] (2.7)

Similarly putting \( x = x_{2n+1} \) and \( y = x_{2n+2} \) in (2.2) and using (2.5) and (2.6), we get
\[ p\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\|^m + \|Tx_{2n+2} - Bx_{2n+2}\|^m < q\|Sx_{2n+1} - Ax_{2n+1}\|^m, \]
\[ p\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\|^m + t^{-m}\|Sx_{2n+3} - Tx_{2n+2}\|^m < qt^{-m}\|Tx_{2n+2} - Sx_{2n+1}\|^m \]
\[ \|Sx_{2n+3} - Tx_{2n+2}\|^m < (q - pt^m)\|Tx_{2n+2} - Sx_{2n+1}\|^m. \] (2.8)

From relations (2.7) and (2.8), we have
\[ \|Sx_{2n+3} - Tx_{2n+2}\|^m < (q - pt^m)^2 \|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|^m. \]

Hence
\[ \|Sx_{2n+3} - Tx_{2n+2}\| < \left( (q - pt^m)^2 \right)^{1/m} \|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\| \] (2.9)
which implies that
\[ \|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\| < \left( (q - pt^m)^2 \right)^{n/m} \|x_1 - Tx_0\| \]
and since \( 0 < q - pt^m < 1 \), it follows that \( \{y_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence.

Since \( X \) is complete, it then follows that the sequence \( \{y_n\} \) converges to a point \( u \) in \( X \). Using (2.3) and (2.4), we see that \( \{Sx_{2n+1}\} \) and \( \{Tx_{2n}\} \) also converge to \( u \). Further, in view of (2.5) and (2.6), \( \{Bx_{2n}\} \) and \( \{Ax_{2n+1}\} \) also converges to \( u \).

Putting \( x = Ax_{2n+1} \) and \( y = Bx_{2n} \) in (2.1) and (2.2), we have
\[ p\|SAx_{2n+1} - TBx_{2n}\|^m + \|SAx_{2n+1} - AAx_{2n+1}\|^m < q\|TBx_{2n} - BBx_{2n}\|^m \] (2.10)
\[ p\|SAx_{2n+1} - TBx_{2n}\|^m + \|TBx_{2n} - BBx_{2n}\|^m < q\|SAx_{2n+1} - AAx_{2n+1}\|^m. \] (2.11)

Letting \( n \to \infty \) in (2.10) and (2.11) we have,
\[ p\|Su - Tu\|^m + \|Su - Au\|^m < q\|Tu - Bu\|^m, \] (2.12)
\[ p\|Su - Tu\|^m + \|Tu - Bu\|^m < q\|Su - Au\|^m, \] (2.13)
since \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) are continuous mappings and \( \|\cdot\| \) is a continuous function.

With the help of (2.12) and (2.13) we will now show that \( u \) is a coincidence point of the pairs \( (A, S) \) and of \( (B, T) \).
Suppose, if possible, that \( Au \neq Su \). Since \( p, q > 0 \), we have from (2.1), (2.2), (2.12) and (2.13) that

\[
\| Su - Au \|^m < < \| Su - Au \|^m + p\| Su - Tu \|^m
\]

\[
<< q\| Tu - Bu \|^m
\]

\[
<< q\| Tu - Bu \|^m + qp\| Su - Tu \|^m,
\]

\[
<< q^2\| Su - Au \|^m,
\]

which is a contradiction. Thus we have

\[
Su = Au. \tag{2.14}
\]

We now show that \( Bu = Tu \). If not, then again using (2.1), (2.2), (2.12) and (2.13) we have

\[
\| Tu - Bu \|^m < < \| Tu - Bu \|^m + p\| Su - Tu \|^m
\]

\[
<< q\| Su - Au \|^m
\]

\[
<< q\| Su - Au \|^m + qp\| Su - Tu \|^m
\]

\[
<< q^2\| Tu - Bu \|^m,
\]

which is a contradiction. Thus we have

\[
Tu = Bu. \tag{2.15}
\]

Using (2.14) and (2.15) in (2.12) and (2.13) we have

\[
p\| Su - Tu \|^m < < 0
\]

which gives

\[
Su = Tu. \tag{2.16}
\]

From (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), we see that \( u \) is a coincidence point of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) and we put

\[
Au = Su = Tu = Bu = w. \tag{2.17}
\]

**Claim I:** We claim that \( w \) is a common fixed point of \( B \) and \( T \). To show this, put \( x = u \) and \( y = w \) in conditions (2.1) and (2.2). We then have

\[
p\| Su - Tw \|^m + \| Su - Au \|^m < < q\| Tw - Bw \|^m,
\]

\[
p\| Su - Tw \|^m + \| Tw - Bw \|^m < < q\| Su - Au \|^m.
\]

Using (2.17), it follows that

\[
p\| w - Tw \|^m < < q\| Tw - Bw \|^m, \tag{2.18}
\]

\[
p\| w - Tw \|^m + \| Tw - Bw \|^m < < 0 \tag{2.19}
\]
so that from (2.18) we have
\[ p \|w - Tw\|^m \ll q \|Tw - Bw\|^m \ll \|Tw - Bw\|^m, \]
as \( q < 1 \), and from (2.19) we have
\[ \|Tw - Bw\|^m \ll \|Tw - Bw\|^m + p\|w - Tw\|^m \ll 0 \]
as \( p > 0 \).
Thus \( Tw = Bw \) and putting \( Tw = Bw \) in (2.18) we have \( Tw = w \). Therefore \( w \) is a common fixed point of \( B \) and \( T \).

**Claim II:** We now claim that \( w \) is a common fixed point of \( A \) and \( S \). To show this, put \( x = w \) and \( y = u \) in conditions (2.1) and (2.2). We then have
\[ p\|Sw - Tw\|^m + \|Sw - Aw\|^m \ll q\|Tu - Bu\|^m \ll 0 \]
on using (2.17). It follows that
\[ Sw = Aw = Tu = w. \]
Therefore \( w \) is a common fixed point of \( A \) and \( S \).

From claims I and II we see that \( w \) is a common fixed point of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \).

We now prove the uniqueness of \( w \). If \( w' \) is a second common fixed point of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \), then on putting \( x = w \) and \( y = w' \) in (2.1) we have
\[ p\|Sw - Tw'\|^m + \|Sw - Aw\|^m \ll q\|Tw' - Bw'\|^m \]
that is
\[ p\|w - w'\|^m + \|w - w\|^m \ll q\|w' - w'\|^m \]
or,
\[ p\|w - w'\|^m \ll 0. \]
Hence \( w = w' \), proving the uniqueness of common fixed point of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \). This completes the proof of the theorem.

### Remark 2.6
(i) When \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) all commute with each other and \( p, m > 0; 0 < t < 1; 0 < q < 1 \) and \( 0 < q - pt^m < 1 \) then our Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 2.1 of Pathak et. al. [8].

(ii) When \( S = T \) and all the mappings \( A, B \) and \( S \) commute with each other, then our Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 1 of Pathak et. al. [7].

### Theorem 2.7
Let \( X \) be a Banach space over a topological semifield \( E \) and let \( K \) be a set of positive elements of \( X \). Let \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) be four continuous self mappings of \( X \), satisfying the following relations
\[ \|Ax - Sx\| \ll p\|Sx - Ty\|, \]  
\[ \|By - Ty\| \ll p\|Ax - Sx\| \]  
(2.20)  
(2.21)
for all \( x, y \in X \), where \( 0 < p < 1 \). Suppose that the range of \( S \) contains the range of \((1 - t)T + tB\) and the range of \( T \) contains the range of \((1 - t)S + tA\), where \( 0 < t < 1 \). Then the sequence \( \{y_n\} \) defined recursively by

\[
y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+1} = (1 - t)Tx_{2n} + tBx_{2n},
y_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+2} = (1 - t)Sx_{2n+1} + tAx_{2n+1},
\]

where \( x_0 \) is a point in \( X \), converges to a point \( u \in X \).

Further, if the range of \( T \) contains the range of \( S \) and if \((A, S)\) is a weakly compatible pair of mappings, then \( Au = w \) is a coincidence point of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \).

**Proof.** From (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

\[
\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\| = t\|Bx_{2n} - Tx_{2n}\|,
\]

\[
\|Tx_{2n+2} - Sx_{2n+1}\| = t\|Ax_{2n+1} - Sx_{2n+1}\|.
\]

Putting \( x = x_{2n+1} \) and \( y = x_{2n} \) in (2.20), we have \( t\|Ax_{n+1} - Sx_{n+1}\| < p\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\| \) and using (2.6), it follows that

\[
\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| < p\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|. \tag{2.22}
\]

Similarly, putting \( x = x_{2n+1} \) and \( y = x_{2n+2} \) in (2.21) we have \( t\|Ax_{2n+1} - Sx_{2n+1}\| < p\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\| \) and using (2.5), we get

\[
\|Sx_{2n+3} - Tx_{2n+2}\| < p\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|. \tag{2.23}
\]

From (2.22) and (2.23) we have

\[
\|Sx_{2n+3} - Tx_{2n+2}\| < p^2t\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|,
\]

for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and it follows that

\[
\|Sx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\| < (p^2t)^n\|Sx_1 - Tx_0\|.
\]

Since \( 0 < p^2t < 1 \), it follows that \( \{y_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence.

Now, since \( X \) is complete, it follows that the sequence \( \{y_n\} \) converges to a point \( u \) in \( X \). Using (2.3) and (2.4), we see that \( \{Sx_{2n+1}\} \) and \( \{Tx_{2n}\} \) also converge to \( u \). Further, in view of (2.5) and (2.6), \( \{Bx_{2n}\} \) and \( \{Ax_{2n+1}\} \) also converge to \( u \).

Using the continuity of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \), we now see that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_{2n+1} = Su, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_{2n+1} = Au,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_{2n} = Bu, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_{2n} = Tu.
\]
Since the range of $T$ contains the range of $S$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $Su = Tv = w$, say. Putting $x = u$ and $y = v$ conditions (2.20) and (2.21) yield
\[
\|Au - Su\| < p\|Su - Tv\|,
\]
\[
\|Bv - Tv\| < p\|Au - Su\|
\]
that is,
\[
Su = Tv = w = Au = Bv.
\tag{2.24}
\]
This shows that $u$ is a coincidence point of $(A, S)$ and $v$ is coincidence point of $(B, T)$.

We now show that $w$ is a coincidence point of both pairs $(A, S)$ and $(B, T)$. Weak compatibility of $(A, S)$ implies that $ASu = SAu$ since $Au = Su = w$. That is,
\[
Aw = Sw.
\tag{2.25}
\]
Putting $x = y = w$, the conditions (2.20) and (2.21) yield
\[
\|Aw - Sw\| < p\|Sw - Tw\|,
\]
\[
\|Bw - Tw\| < q\|Aw - Sw\|.
\]
Thus we have
\[
Bw = Tw
\tag{2.26}
\]
and we conclude that
\[
Aw = Sw = Bw = Tw.
\]
Therefore, $w$ is a coincidence point of $A, B, S$ and $T$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

\begin{remark}
In Theorem 2.7, weak compatibility of the pair of mappings $(A, S)$ and $(B, T)$ do not necessarily imply $Aw = Sw$ and $Bw = Tw$, respectively; i.e., $w$ is not necessarily a coincidence point of $A, B, S$ and $T$.
\end{remark}

\section{An Application}

Now we prove an application of Theorem 2.5 for certain non-linear function equations in Banach space $X$ over a topological semifield $E$ as follows:

\begin{theo}
Let $X$ be a Banach space over a topological semifield $E$ and let $A, B, S$ and $T$ be four continuous self mappings on $X$ satisfying conditions (2.1)
\end{theo}
and (2.2) of Theorem 2.5. Let \( \{f_n\} \) and \( \{g_n\} \) be sequences of elements in \( X \) and let \( w_n \) be the unique solution of the system of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
  u - Su &= f_n, \quad (3.1) \\
  u - Tu &= g_n, \quad (3.2)
\end{align*}
\]

and let \( \{w_n\} \) be a sequence of solutions of the system of equations

\[
Su - Au = 0, \quad Tu - Bu = 0 \quad (3.3)
\]

satisfying

\[
\|w_i - w_j\| < \|w_i - Sw_i\| + \|Sw_i - Tw_j\| + \|Tw_j - w_j\| \quad (3.4)
\]

for \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots \). If

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|g_n\| = 0,
\]

then the sequence \( \{w_n\} \) converges to the solution of the equations

\[
u = Su = Au = Bu = Tu. \quad (3.5)
\]

**Proof.** By hypothesis, for all \( n \), we have

\[
\|Sw_n - Aw_n\| = 0, \quad \|Tw_n - Bw_n\| = 0.
\]

Suppose that

\[
\|w_n - Sw_n\| \neq 0, \quad \|w_n - Tw_n\| \neq 0,
\]

then by (2.2) and (3.4), we have for \( r > n \),

\[
\begin{align*}
  \|w_n - w_r\| &< \|w_n - Sw_n\| + \|Sw_n - Tw_r\| + \|Tw_r - w_r\| \\
  &< \|f_n\| + \|Tw_r - Bw_r\| \leq q\|Sw_n - Aw_n\|^{\frac{1}{m}} - \|Tw_r - Bw_r\| \leq q\|Sw_n - Aw_n\|^{\frac{1}{m}} + \|g_r\|.
\end{align*}
\]

or,

\[
\|w_n - w_r\| < \|f_n\| + \|g_r\|. \quad (3.6)
\]

Similarly, by (2.1) and (3.4) we have for \( r > n \),

\[
\begin{align*}
  \|w_n - w_r\| &< \|f_n\| + \|Tw_r - Bw_r\| \leq q\|Sw_n - Aw_n\|^{\frac{1}{m}} - \|Sw_n - Aw_n\|^{\frac{1}{m}} + \|g_r\|,
\end{align*}
\]

or,

\[
\|w_n - w_r\| < \|f_n\| + \|g_r\|. \quad (3.7)
\]

Proceeding to the limit as \( n \to \infty \) we obtain from (3.6) and (3.7) that \( \|w_n - w_r\| \to 0 \). This implies that \( \{w_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( X \). Since \( X \) is complete, it follows that the sequence \( \{w_n\} \) converges to a point \( w \) in \( X \).
Since \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) are continuous, it follows from (3.1) that
\[
\| w - Sw \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| w_n - Sw_n \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| f_n \| = 0,
\]
\[
\| w - Tw \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| w_n - Tw_n \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| g_n \| = 0,
\]
\[
\| Sw - Aw \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| Sw_n - Aw_n \| = 0,
\]
\[
\| Tw - Bw \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| Tw_n - Bw_n \| = 0.
\]
This implies that \( w = Aw = Bw = Sw = Tw \), completing the proof of the theorem.

\[\square\]

4 An Example

Finally, we give an example to validate our coincidence Theorem 2.7 as follows:

Example 4.1 Let \( E = \mathbb{R} \) be a topological semifield and \( X = \mathbb{R} \) be its subsemifield over the field of real numbers under ordinary addition and multiplication. Suppose \( K = \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty) \) be the set of all positive elements of \( E \), so that \( \bar{K} = [0, \infty) \).

Let us define a norm \( \| \cdot \| \) in \( \mathbb{R} \) by
\[
\| x - y \| = |x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}
\]
and four continuous self-mappings on \( \mathbb{R} \) by
\[
Ax = \frac{x}{5}, \quad Sx = \frac{x}{4}, \quad Bx = \frac{x}{3}, \quad Tx = \frac{x}{2} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Since each topological subsemifield is isomorphic to the field of real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \), it is obvious that \( \mathbb{R} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{R} \) itself. For instance, if we choose a mapping \( \phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by \( \phi(x) = \frac{x}{2} \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) then \( \phi \) is topologically isomorphic from \( \mathbb{R} \) to \( \mathbb{R} \), as \( \phi \) is one-one, onto, linear and both \( \phi \) and \( \phi^{-1} \) are continuous in their respective domains.

Here, we observe that

(i) \( A^{-1}x = 5x, \quad S^{-1}x = 4x, \quad B^{-1}x = 3x \) and \( T^{-1}x = 2x \).

(ii) \( AX = SX = BX = TX = \mathbb{R} \), so that the range of \( S \) contains the range of \( (1 - t)T + tB \) and the range of \( T \) contains the range of \( (1 - t)S + tA \), where \( 0 < t < 1 \) and \( SX = TX \).

(iii) \( (\mathbb{R}, \| \cdot \|) \) is a Banach space.

(iv) Let us test the convergence of \( \{x_n\} \) as defined in Theorem 2.7. That is,
\[
y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+1} = (1 - t)Tx_{2n} + tBx_{2n},
\]
\[
y_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+2} = (1 - t)Sx_{2n+1} + tAx_{2n+1}.
\]
Thus for an arbitrary \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) we obtain

\[
S x_1 = (1 - t)T x_0 + t B x_0 = \frac{1}{2} x_0 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{3} \right),
\]

so that

\[
x_1 = S^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} x_0 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{3} \right) \right\} = 2 x_0 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{3} \right)
\]

and

\[
T x_2 = \left( 1 - t \right) S x_1 + t A x_1 = \frac{1}{4} x_1 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{5} \right)
\]

so that

\[
x_2 = T^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} x_1 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{5} \right) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} x_1 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{5} \right) = x_0 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{3} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{t}{5} \right).
\]

More generally, we have

\[
x_{2n+1} = 2 x_{2n} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{3} \right) = 2 x_0 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{3} \right)^n \left( 1 - \frac{t}{5} \right)^n,
\]

\[
x_{2n+2} = \frac{1}{2} x_{2n+1} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{5} \right) = x_0 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{3} \right)^{n+1} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{5} \right)^{n+1}
\]

for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Since \( 0 < t < 1 \), it follows that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+2} = 0 \) and so \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0 \).

(v) We have thus proved that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges to \( u = 0 \) and \( A_0 = S_0 \).

Since \( AS_0 = SA_0 \), the pair \((A,S)\), is weak compatible.

(vi) Let us test the relations (2.20) and (2.21) for all \( x,y \in X \).

Since

\[
||Ax - Sx|| = \frac{|x|}{2^0}, ||By - Ty|| = \frac{|y|}{6}, ||Sx - Ty|| = \frac{|x - 2y|}{4}
\]

we have from (2.20)

\[
\frac{|x|}{2^0} << \frac{p|x - 2y|}{4} << \frac{p}{4} (|x| + 2|y|),
\]

since \( K = \mathbb{R}^+ \), and this implies that

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2^0} - \frac{p}{4} \right) |x| << \frac{p|y|}{2}. \tag{4.1}
\]

Similarly, from (2.21) we have

\[
\frac{|y|}{6} << \frac{p|x|}{20}. \tag{4.2}
\]
Using (4.2) in (4.1) we obtain,

\[
\left( \frac{1}{20} - \frac{p}{4} \right) |x| << \frac{p|y|}{2} \ll \frac{3p^2|x|}{20} \ll \frac{3p|x|}{20}.
\]

That is,

\[
\left( \frac{1}{20} - \frac{p}{4} \right) < \frac{3p}{20}.
\]

This implies

\[
\frac{1}{8} < p. \quad (4.3)
\]

Thus conditions (2.20) and (2.21) are satisfied for all \( x, y \in X \) and for \( \frac{1}{8} < p < 1 \).

(vii) Lastly we see that, for \( w = 0 \) we have

\( A_0 = S_0 = B_0 = T_0 \).

Thus \( w = 0 \) is a coincidence point of mappings \( A, B, S \) and \( T \). This verifies Theorem 2.2.

**An Open Question.** To what extent we can mute continuity requirement of quadruple of self-mappings in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 ?
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