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1 Introduction

Let $A$ be a nonempty set and $n$ a natural number. The set $A^\text{lin} = \mathbb{N} \times A$ where $\mathbb{N} := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is called the $n$-th copower. Dualizing the concept of $n$-ary operation we obtain that of an $n$-ary cooperation on $A$ is a mapping $f^A : A \to A^\text{lin}$ and the number $n$ is called the arity of the cooperation $f^A$. Each $n$-ary cooperation $f^A$ is uniquely determined by the pair of mappings $(f_1^A, f_2^A)$ where $f_1^A : A \to \mathbb{N}$, $f_2^A : A \to A$ and $f^A(a) = (f_1^A(a), f_2^A(a))$. The mappings $f_1^A$ and $f_2^A$ is called the labelling and the mapping of $f^A$, respectively, (see, [2]). An indexed coalgebra is a pair $(A; (f_i^A)_{i \in I})$, where $f_i^A$ is an $n_i$-ary cooperation defined on $A$, and $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ is called the type of the coalgebra, (see, [1][3][4]). This particular structure was introduced by Drbohlav and the Birkhoff’s variety theorem for coalgebra was proven [3].

Let $cO_A^{(n)}$ be the set of all $n$-ary cooperations defined on $A$. In [2], Csákány introduced the notion of superposition as follows. If $f^A \in cO_A^{(n)}$ and $g_1^A, \ldots, g_n^A \in cO_A^{(k_i)}$, then define a $k$-ary cooperation $f^A[g_1^A, \ldots, g_n^A] : A \to A^{\text{lin}}$ by

$$a \mapsto ((g_1^A(a))_1(f_2^A(a)), \ldots, (g_n^A(a))_2(f_2^A(a)))$$

for all $a \in A$. We call the cooperation $f^A[g_1^A, \ldots, g_n^A]$ a superposition of $f^A$ and $g_1^A, \ldots, g_n^A$. Instead of $f^A[g_1^A, \ldots, g_n^A]$ we also write $\text{comp}_k^n(f^A, g_1^A, \ldots, g_n^A)$. For example, let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $f^A, g_1^A, g_2^A, g_3^A : A \to A^{\text{lin}}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
 f^A(a_1) &= (2, a_2) \\
 g_1^A(a_1) &= (2, a_2) \\
 g_2^A(a_1) &= (3, a_1) \\
 g_3^A(a_1) &= (3, a_1)
\end{align*}
$$

We can see that $f_i^A(a_i)$ and $f_i^A(a_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, are a natural number in the first and an element of $A$ in the second component of $f_i^A(a_i)$, respectively. The labelling and the mapping of $g_1^A$, $g_2^A$, $g_3^A$ can be considered similarly. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
 f^A[g_1^A, g_2^A, g_3^A](a_1) &= ((g_2^A)_1(a_2), (g_2^A)_2(a_2)) = (3, a_1), \\
 f^A[g_1^A, g_2^A, g_3^A](a_2) &= ((g_3^A)_1(a_1), (g_3^A)_2(a_1)) = (3, a_1),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
 f^A[g_1^A, g_2^A, g_3^A](a_3) &= ((g_1^A)_1(a_3), (g_1^A)_2(a_3)) = (1, a_3).
\end{align*}
$$

The injection $i^A_n$ are special cooperations which are defined by $i^A_n : A \to A^{\text{lin}}$ with $a \mapsto (i, a)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we obtain a multi-based algebra

$$((cO_A^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}; \text{comp}_k^n)_{k, n \geq 1}, (i^A_n)_{1 \leq i \leq n}).$$

In [2], Csákány mentioned that it is a clone.

Coalgebras are pairs consisting of a nonempty set and a set of cooperations defined on this set. In [4], K. Denecke and K. Saengsura defined terms for coalgebras, coidentities and cohyperidentities. These concepts can be applied to give a new
solution of the completeness problem for clones of cooperations defined on a two-element set and to separate clones of cooperations by coidegities. The concepts of coidentities and cohyperidentities, help to solve the functional completeness problem, are defined by coterms.

Let \( \tau = (n_i)_{i \in I} \) be an indexed family of natural numbers and let \( (f_i)_{i \in I} \) be an indexed set of cooperation symbols. To each cooperation symbol we assign an element set \( S \) and to separate clones of cooperations by coidentities. The concepts of \( \text{Idempotent of Weak Projection Cohypersubstitutions} \) are defined slightly different from [1,3]. Indeed, the property (iv) is added since an \( n \)-ary coterms of type \( \tau \) can start with symbol \( e_j^n \) for \( p \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq p \). For instance, the binary coterms can be written by \( e_2^n[2], e_1^n, e_2^n \).

In [1], the authors proved that the multi-based algebra

\[
((cT_\tau^{(n)}), (S_m^{(n)}), (e_j^n)_{1 \leq j \leq n})
\]

is a clone. That is, it satisfied the conditions...
Definition 1.2. A cohypersubstitution of type \( \tau \) is a mapping \( \sigma : \{ f_i : i \in I \}\cup E \rightarrow cT_\tau \) which maps each \( n_i \)-ary cooperation symbols of type \( \tau \) to an \( n_i \)-ary coterm of this type and \( \sigma(e) = e \) if \( e \in E \). Any cohypersubstitution \( \sigma \) can be extended to a mapping \( \hat{\sigma} : cT_\tau \rightarrow cT_\tau \) on the set of all coterm of type \( \tau \) inductively defined as follows:

(i) \( \hat{\sigma}[f_i] := f_i \) for all \( i \in I \),
(ii) \( \hat{\sigma}[e^n_i] := e^n_i \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq n \),
(iii) \( \hat{\sigma}[e^n_i[t_1, \ldots, t_n]] := \hat{\sigma}[t_i] \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq n \),
(iv) \( \hat{\sigma}[f_i[t_1, \ldots, t_n]] := S^n_i(\sigma(f_i), \hat{\sigma}[t_1], \ldots, \hat{\sigma}[t_n]) \).

This definition is also slightly different from [1,8] by the same reason as defining superposition of coterm. Moreover, we set \( \sigma(e) = e \) for all \( e \in E \). We denote by \( \text{cHyp}(\tau) \) the set of all cohypersubstitutions of type \( \tau \). In [1], the authors defined a binary operation \( \circ_C \) on \( cT_\tau \) by \( \sigma_1 \circ_C \sigma_2 := \hat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2 \) for all \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in cT_\tau \), where \( \circ \) is a usual composition of mapping. They showed that the structure \( \text{cHyp}(\tau) := (\text{cHyp}(\tau); \circ_C, \sigma_{id}) \) is a monoid where \( \sigma_{id} \) is an identity cohypersubstitution defined by \( \sigma_{id}(f_i) = f_i \) for all \( i \in I \).

In semigroup theory, it is of interest to consider various type of its elements, including regular, idempotent, completely regular, etc. In [6], the authors characterized idempotent and regular elements of \( \text{cHyp}(2) \). The characterizations of idempotent and regular elements of cohypersubstitutions of type (3) and type (n) was given in [7] and [8], respectively, by D. Boonchari and K. Saengsura.

In this paper, we continue in this vein, by consider the submonoid of cohypersubstitutions of type (2,2), so-called weak projection cohypersubstitutions and characterize its idempotent elements.

2 Some Submonoids

In this section, we present two submonoids based on various properties of cohypersubstitutions.

Definition 2.1. A cohypersubstitution \( \sigma \) of type \( \tau \) is called a projection cohypersubstitution of type \( \tau \) if \( \sigma(f_i) \in E_{n_i} \) for all \( i \in I \).
Denoted by $P(\tau)$ the set of all projection cohypersubstitutions of type $\tau$.

**Proposition 2.2.** An algebra $(P(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}; \circ_C, \sigma_{id})$ is a submonoid of $(c\text{Hyp}(\tau); \circ_C, \sigma_{id})$.

*Proof.* Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in P(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$.

- If $\sigma_1 \in P(\tau)$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{id}$, then
  
  $$(\sigma_1 \circ_C \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(f_i) = f_i = \sigma_{id}(f_i).$$

- If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{id}$ and $\sigma_2 \in P(\tau)$, then $\sigma_2(f_i) = e^n_j$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus,
  
  $$(\sigma_1 \circ_C \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(e^n_j) = e^n_j.$$  

- If $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in P(\tau)$, then $\sigma_2(f_i) = e^n_j$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus,
  
  $$(\sigma_1 \circ_C \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(e^n_j) = e^n_j.$$  

- If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{id} = \sigma_2$, then
  
  $$(\sigma_1 \circ_C \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(f_i) = f_i = \sigma_{id}(f_i).$$

Therefore, $\sigma_1 \circ_C \sigma_2 \in P(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$. Altogether, we have that $(P(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}; \circ_C, \sigma_{id})$ is a submonoid of $(c\text{Hyp}(\tau); \circ_C, \sigma_{id})$. 

**Definition 2.3.** A cohypersubstitution $\sigma$ of type $\tau$ is called a weak projection cohypersubstitution of type $\tau$ if there is $i \in I$ such that $\sigma(f_i) \in E_n$.

Denoted by $WP(\tau)$ the set of all weak projection cohypersubstitutions of type $\tau$.

**Proposition 2.4.** An algebra $(WP(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}; \circ_C, \sigma_{id})$ is a submonoid of $(c\text{Hyp}(\tau); \circ_C, \sigma_{id})$.

*Proof.* Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in WP(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$.

- If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{id}$ and $\sigma_2 \in WP(\tau)$, then there is $i \in I$ such that $\sigma_2(f_i) = e^n_j$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus,
  
  $$(\sigma_1 \circ_C \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(e^n_j) = e^n_j.$$  

- If $\sigma_1 \in WP(\tau)$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{id}$, then there is $i \in I$ such that $\sigma_1(f_i) = e^n_j$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus,
  
  $$(\sigma_1 \circ_C \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(f_i) = f_i = \sigma_{id}(f_i).$$
• If $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in WP(\tau)$, then there is $i \in I$ such that $\sigma_2(f_i) = e_j^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus,
$$ (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(e_j^n) = e_j^n. $$

• If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{id} = \sigma_2$, then
$$ (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(f_i) = f_i = \sigma_{id}(f_i). $$

Therefore, $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \in WP(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$. Altogether, we have that $(WP(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}; \circ_{C}, \circ_{id})$ is a submonoid of $(eHyp(\tau); \circ_{C}, \circ_{id})$. 

\[ \Box \]

**Corollary 2.5.** An algebra $(P(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}; \circ_{C}, \circ_{id})$ is a submonoid of $(WP(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}; \circ_{C}, \circ_{id})$.

### 3 Idempotent Elements of Weak Projection Cohypersubstitutions

For a semigroup $S$, an element $e \in S$ is called an idempotent element of $S$ if $e = ee$. We consider the idempotent elements of $WP(2, 2)$. It is clear that every element of $P(2, 2)$ is idempotent. Thus, we only consider the idempotent elements of $WP(2, 2) \setminus P(2, 2)$. Let $f$ and $g$ be the binary cooperation symbols. We denote the cohypersubstitution $\sigma$ with $\sigma(f) = t_1$ and $\sigma(g) = t_2$ by $\sigma_{t_1, t_2}$. We start with the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $\sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}$ be a cohypersubstitution of type $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}$ is idempotent;

(ii) $\hat{\sigma}_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}[t_j] = t_j$ for all $j \in I$.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $j \in I$. Then
$$ \hat{\sigma}_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}[t_j] = \hat{\sigma}_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}[\sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}(f_j)] = (\sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}} \circ C \sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}})(f_j) = \sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}(f_j) = t_j. $$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): For each $j \in I$, we obtain
$$ (\sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}} \circ C \sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}})(f_j) = \hat{\sigma}_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}[\sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}(f_j)] = \hat{\sigma}_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}[t_j] = t_j = \sigma_{(t_i)_{i \in I}}(f_j). $$

Thus, we complete the proof. 

\[ \Box \]

For a cohypersubstitution $\sigma_{t_1, t_2}$ of $WP(2, 2) \setminus P(2, 2)$ we separate our consideration into four cases:

(i) $t_1 \in E_2$, $t_2 \notin E_2$ and $co(t_2) = 1$,

(ii) $t_2 \in E_2$, $t_1 \notin E_2$ and $co(t_1) = 1$, ...
(iii) \( t_1 \in E_2, t_2 \not\in E_2 \) and \( \text{co}(t_2) > 1 \),
(iv) \( t_2 \in E_2, t_1 \not\in E_2 \) and \( \text{co}(t_1) > 1 \),

where \( \text{co}(t_1) \) and \( \text{co}(t_2) \) denote the number of all cooperation symbols occurring in the coterms \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), respectively. We will start with some notions that used to prove our main results.

For \( n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq n \) and \( F \) be a variable over the two-elements alphabet \( \{f,g\} \) where \( \text{ar}(F) \) denotes the arity of \( F \). We define \( M^i(t), 1 \leq i \leq \text{ar}(F) \) by

(i) if \( t = e^n_j \), then \( M^i(t) = t \),

(ii) if \( t = F \), then \( M^i(t) = F \),

(iii) if \( t = F[s_1, \ldots, s_{\text{ar}(F)}] \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq \text{ar}(F) \), then \( M^i(t) = M^i(s_i) \),

(iv) if \( t = e^n_j[s_1, \ldots, s_n] \), then \( M^i(t) = M^i(s_j) \).

For example, let \( f, g \) be binary cooperation symbols and \( t = f[g[e^n_1[f, e^n_2], e^n_1], e^n_2[g, e^n_2[e^n_2, e^n_1]]] \). Then

\[
M^1(t) = M^1(f[g[e^n_1[f, e^n_2], e^n_1], e^n_2[g, e^n_2[e^n_2, e^n_1]]]) \\
= M^1(g[e^n_1[f, e^n_2], e^n_1]) \\
= M^1(e^n_1[f, e^n_2]) \\
= M^1(f) \\
= f,
\]

\[
M^2(t) = M^2(f[g[e^n_1[f, e^n_2], e^n_1], e^n_2[g, e^n_2[e^n_2, e^n_1]]]) \\
= M^2(e^n_2[g, e^n_1[e^n_2, e^n_1]]) \\
= M^2(e^n_2) \\
= e^n_2.
\]

For \( n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq n \) and \( F \) be a variable over the two-element alphabet \( \{f,g\} \) where \( \text{ar}(F) \) denotes the arity of \( F \). For a coterm \( t \), we let \( \text{inn}(t) \) be the set of inner coterm of the coterm \( t \) defined inductively by the following,

(i) if \( t = F \), then \( \text{inn}(t) = \{F\} \),

(ii) if \( t = e^n_j \), then \( \text{inn}(t) = \{e^n_j\} \),

(iii) if \( t = e^n_j[s_1, \ldots, s_n] \), then \( \text{inn}(t) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{inn}(s_i) \),

(iv) if \( t = F[s_1, \ldots, s_{\text{ar}(F)}] \), then \( \text{inn}(t) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\text{ar}(F)} \text{inn}(s_i) \).
For example, let $f, g$ be binary cooperation symbols and $t = f[g[e_1^2[f, e_2^2], e_1^3], e_2^2[g, e_1^2[e_2^3, e_1^3]]]$. Then

$$\text{inn}(t_2) = \text{inn}(f[g[e_1^2[f, e_2^2], e_1^3], e_2^2[g, e_1^2[e_2^3, e_1^3]])$$

$$= \text{inn}(g[e_1^3[f, e_2^3], e_1^4]) \cup \text{inn}(e_2^3[g, e_1^3[e_2^3, e_1^3]])$$

$$= \text{inn}(e_1^3[f, e_2^3]) \cup \text{inn}(e_1^3) \cup \text{inn}(g) \cup \text{inn}(e_2^3[e_2^3, e_1^3])$$

$$= \text{inn}(f) \cup \text{inn}(e_2^3) \cup \{e_1^4\} \cup \{g\} \cup \{e_2^3\} \cup \{e_1^3\}$$

$$= \{e_1^3, e_2^3, f, g\}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ and $F$ be variables over the two-elements alphabet $\{f, g\}$ where $\text{ar}(F)$ denotes the arity of $F$. Let $1 \leq i \leq \text{ar}(F)$. We define $P^i(t)$ by

(i) if $t = e_1^n$, then $P^i(t) = t$,

(ii) if $t = F$, then $P^i(t) = F$,

(iii) if $t = e_1^n[s_1, \ldots, s_n]$, then $P^i(t) = P^i(s_j)$

(iv) if $t = F(t_1, \ldots, t_{\text{ar}(F)})$, then $P^i(t) = FP^i(t_i)$.

For example, let $f, g$ be binary cooperation symbols and $t = f[g[e_1^2[f, e_2^2], e_1^3], e_2^2[g, e_1^2[e_2^3, e_1^3]]]$. Then

$$P^1(t) = P^1(f[g[e_1^2[f, e_2^2], e_1^3], e_2^2[g, e_1^2[e_2^3, e_1^3]]])$$

$$= fP^1(g[e_1^2[f, e_2^2], e_1^3])$$

$$= fgP^1(e_1^3[f, e_2^3])$$

$$= fgP^1(f)$$

$$= fgf$$

and

$$P^2(t) = P^2(f[g[e_1^2[f, e_2^2], e_1^3], e_2^2[g, e_1^2[e_2^3, e_1^3]]])$$

$$= fP^2(e_2^3[e_2^3, e_1^3])$$

$$= fP^2(e_2^3)$$

$$= fe_2^3.$$

Now, we are ready to prove our results. In the case that $t_1 \in E_2, t_2 \notin E_2$ and $\text{co}(t_2) = 1$, we obtain the following propositions.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $\sigma_{t_1,t_2} \in WP(2, 2) \setminus P(2, 2), t_1 \in E_2, \text{co}(t_2) = 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent.
(ii) \( t_2 \) is one of the following forms:

- \( t_2 \in \{f, g\} \),
- \( t_2 \in P^1(t_2) = F \cdots F_k \) where \( F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E, 1 \leq i \leq k \), there is the smallest positive integer \( l \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( F_l = g \) with a subcoterm \( t_2' \) of \( t_2 \) such that
  \[
  - t_2' = g \text{ or } \\
  - t_2' = g[x_1, x_2] \text{ where } x_1, x_2 \in cT^{(2)} \text{ and the following conditions hold;}
  \]
  * if \( e_1^2 \in \text{inn}(t_2) \), then \( M^1(x_1) = e_1^2 \),
  * if \( e_2^2 \in \text{inn}(t_2) \), then \( M^2(x_2) = e_2^2 \).

**Proof.** (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii): Assume that \( t_2 \notin \{f, g\} \). Suppose that, in \( P^1(t_2) = F \cdots F_k \) where \( F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E, 1 \leq i \leq k \), there is the smallest positive integer \( l \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( F_l = f \). This implies that \( \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2} \in E_2 \), which is a contradiction. This implies that in \( P^1(t_2) = F \cdots F_k \) where \( F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E, 1 \leq i \leq k \), there is the smallest positive integer \( l \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( F_l = g \) with a subcoterm \( t_2' \) of \( t_2 \). Let \( t_2' \neq g \). Assume that \( t_2' = g[x_1, x_2] \) where \( x_1, x_2 \in cT^{(2)} \). Since \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent,

\[
  t_2 = \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[\sigma_{t_1, t_2} t_2] = S^2(t_2, \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[x_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[x_2]).
\]

Let \( e_1^2 \in \text{inn}(t_2) \). Suppose that \( M^1(x_1) = e_1^2 \). Then we have to replace \( e_1^2 \) in \( \text{inn}(t_2) \) of the coterm \( t_2 \) by \( e_2^2 \). Thus, \( S^2(t_2, \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[x_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[x_2]) \neq t_2 \), which is a contradiction. Hence, if \( e_1^2 \in \text{inn}(t_2) \), then \( M^1(x_1) = e_1^2 \). Similarly, if \( e_2^2 \in \text{inn}(t_2) \), then \( M^2(x_2) = e_2^2 \).

(ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i): It is clear that \( \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[F] = F \) where \( F \in \{f, g\} \). Thus, if \( t_2 \in \{f, g\} \), then \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent.

Assume that in \( P^1(t_2) = F \cdots F_k \) where \( F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E, 1 \leq i \leq k \), there is the smallest positive integer \( l \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( F_l = g \) with a subcoterm \( t_2' \) of \( t_2 \). In this case, since \( t_1 \in E_2, \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[t_1] = t_1 \). If \( t_2' = g \), then \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[t_2] = \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[t_2'] = \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[g] = t_2 \). Assume that \( t_2' = g[x_1, x_2] \) where \( x_1, x_2 \in cT^{(2)} \). Let \( e_1^2 \in \text{inn}(t_2) \). Then \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[t_2] = \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[t_2'] = \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[g[x_1, x_2]] = S(t_2, e_1^2, \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[x_2]) \). Thus, we have to replace \( e_1^2 \) in \( \text{inn}(t_2) \) of the coterm \( t_2 \) by \( e_1^2 \). Similarly, if \( e_2^2 \in \text{inn}(t_2) \), then we have to replace \( e_2^2 \) in \( \text{inn}(t_2) \) of the coterm \( t_2 \) by \( e_2^2 \). Therefore, \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent. \( \square \)

For example, let \( f \) and \( g \) be cooperation symbols of type \((2, 2)\). Then we have

- \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent where \( t_1 = e_2^2 \) and \( t_2 = e_2^2[e_2^2, e_1^2[g[e_1^2, e_2^2], e_1^2, e_1^2]]. \)
- \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is not idempotent where \( t_1 = e_2^2 \) and \( t_2 = g[e_2^2, e_1^2]. \)

Similarly, in case of \( t_2 \in E_2, t_1 \notin E_2 \) and \( \text{co}(t_1) = 1 \), we have as follows.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \in WP(2, 2) \setminus P(2, 2), t_2 \in E_2, \text{co}(t_1) = 1 \). Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent.
(ii) \( t_1 \) is one of the following forms:

- \( t_1 \in \{f, g\} \),
- in \( P^1(t_1) = F_1 \cdots F_k \) where \( F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E, 1 \leq i \leq k \), there is the smallest positive integer \( 1 \leq l \leq k \) such that \( F_l = f \) with a subcoterm \( t'_1 \) of \( t_1 \) such that
  - \( t'_1 = f \) or
  - \( t'_1 = f[x_1, x_2] \) where \( x_1, x_2 \in cT_{(2, 2)}^l \) and the following conditions hold:
    * if \( e_1^2 \in \text{inn}(t_1) \), then \( M^1(x_1) = e_1^2 \),
    * if \( e_2^2 \in \text{inn}(t_1) \), then \( M^2(x_2) = e_2^2 \).

For example, let \( f \) and \( g \) be cooperation symbols of type \((2, 2)\). Then we have

- \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent where \( t_2 = e_2^2 \) and \( t_1 = e_1^2[f, e_1^2, e_2^2] \).
- \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is not idempotent where \( t_2 = e_2^2 \) and \( t_1 = e_1^2[e_2^2, f[e_2^2, e_2^2]] \).

**Remark 3.4.** We observe that for \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \in WP(2, 2) \setminus P(2, 2) \), \( t_1 \in E_2 \) and \( co(t_2) > 1 \), if \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent, then \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset \). To see this, we suppose that \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap E_2 = \emptyset \). This implies that \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap \{f, g\} \neq \emptyset \). If \( f \in \text{inn}(t_2) \), then \( t_1 \in \text{inn}(t_2) \). If \( g \in \text{inn}(t_2) \), then the coterm \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is longer that the coterm \( t_2 \). These contradict that \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \). Hence, \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset \). Dually, for \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \in WP(2, 2) \setminus P(2, 2) \), \( t_2 \in E_2 \) and \( co(t_1) > 1 \), if \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent, then \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset \).

By the above observation, we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \in WP(2, 2) \setminus P(2, 2) \), \( t_j \in E_2 \), \( co(t_2) > 1 \) where \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \) are distinct elements in \( \{1, 2\} \). We have that if \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent, then \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset \).

In case that \( t_1 \in E_2 \), \( t_2 \notin E_2 \) and \( co(t_2) > 1 \), we obtain the following propositions.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \in WP(2, 2) \setminus P(2, 2) \), \( t_1 = e_m^2, m = 1, 2 \), \( co(t_2) > 1 \), \( t_2 = F[s_1, s_2] \) with \( P^m(t_2) = F_1 \cdots F_k \) for some natural number \( k \) where \( F, F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E, 1 \leq i \leq k \) and \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap E_2 = \{e_j^2\}, j = 1, 2 \). Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent.

(ii) In \( P^m(t_2) \), there exists the smallest positive integer \( l \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( F_l = g \) with a subcoterm \( t'_2 \), and one of the following conditions hold:

- if \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap \{f, g\} \neq \emptyset \), then \( t'_2 = g \).
- if \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap \{f, g\} = \emptyset \), then \( t'_2 = g[s'_1, s'_2], s'_1, s'_2 \in cT_{(2, 2)}^l \) such that the set of cooperation symbols occurring in \( P^m(s'_j) \) is \( \{f\} \) or \( \emptyset \).
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Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii): Since \( P^m(t_2) = F_1 \cdots F_k \) where \( F_i \in \{ f, g \} \cup E, 1 \leq l \leq k \) for some natural number \( k \), then there exists \( q \in \{ 1, \ldots, k \} \) such that \( F_q = g \) since otherwise \( \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] \in E_2 \), which is a contradiction. Let \( l \in \{ 1, \ldots, k \} \) be the smallest positive integer such that \( F_l = g \) with the subcoterm \( t'_2 \) of \( t_2 \) where \( \sigma_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] = g \). Let \( \sigma_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] = g \). If \( t'_2 = g \) or \( t'_2 = g[s'_1, s'_2] \), \( s'_1, s'_2 \in C_{T(2,2)}^{(2)} \). Suppose that \( t'_2 = g[s'_1, s'_2] \) where \( s'_1, s'_2 \in C_{T(2,2)}^{(2)} \). Since \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent, we consider

\[
\begin{align*}
t_2 &= \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] \\
&= \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t'_2] \\
&= [\hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[g[s'_1, s'_2]]] \\
&= S_2^2(\sigma_{t_1,t_2}(g), \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]) \\
&= S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]).
\end{align*}
\]

If \( f \) occurs in \( \text{inn}(t_2) \), then we have to replace \( f \) in \( \text{inn}(t_2) \) by \( f[e_1^2, e_2^2] \). Thus, \( S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]) \) must be longer than \( t_2 \) which is a contradiction. If \( g \) occurs in \( \text{inn}(t_2) \), then the coterm \( S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]) \) must be longer than the coterm \( t_2 \). These implies that \( S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]) \) is idempotent, we consider

\[
\begin{align*}
t_2 &= \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] \\
&= \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t'_2] \\
&= [\hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[g[s'_1, s'_2]]] \\
&= S_2^2(\sigma_{t_1,t_2}(g), \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]) \\
&= S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]).
\end{align*}
\]

This implies that the coterm \( S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]) \) must be longer than the coterm \( t_2 \). This follows that \( \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] \) is idempotent, we consider

\[
\begin{align*}
t_2 &= \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] \\
&= \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t'_2] \\
&= [\hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[g[s'_1, s'_2]]] \\
&= S_2^2(\sigma_{t_1,t_2}(g), \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]) \\
&= S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_1], \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[s'_2]).
\end{align*}
\]

(v) ⇒ (i): Without loss of generality, we assume that \( m, j = 1 \). Then, in \( P^1(t_2) \), there exists \( l \in \{ 1, \ldots, k \} \) such that \( F_l = g \) with a subcoterm \( t'_2 \). Assume that \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap \{ f, g \} \neq \emptyset \). Then \( t'_2 = g \). This clearly implies that \( \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] = t_2 \). Assume that \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap \{ f, g \} \neq \emptyset \). Then \( t'_2 = g[s'_1, s'_2], s'_1, s'_2 \in C_{T(2,2)}^{(2)} \) such that the set of cooperation symbols occurring in \( P^1(s'_1) \) is \( \{ f \} \) or \( \emptyset \). Since \( \text{inn}(t_2) \cap E_2 = \{ e_1^2, e_2^2 \} \), we obtain that \( \hat{\sigma}_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] = t_2 \). Therefore, \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent.

For example, let \( f \) and \( g \) be cooperation symbols of type \((2, 2)\). Then we have

- \( \sigma_{t_1, t_2} \) is idempotent where \( t_1 = e_1^2 \) and \( t_2 = e_2^2[e_1^2, f[g[e_1^2, e_1^2], g[e_1^2, f[e_1^2, e_2^2]]]] \).
• $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is not idempotent where $t_1 = e_2^2$ and $t_2 = g[e_2^2, g[e_2^2, e_2^2]]$.

Similarly, we can prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let $\sigma_{t_1,t_2} \in WP(2,2) \cap P(2,2)$, $t_2 = e_m^2$, $m = 1, 2$, $co(t_1) > 1$, $t_1 = F[s_1, s_2]$ with $P^m(t_1) = F_1 \cdots F_k$ for some natural number $k$ where $F, F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\text{inn}(t_1) \cap E_2 = \{e_j^2\}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent.

(ii) In $P^m(t_1)$, there exists the smallest positive integer $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $F_l = f$ with a subcoterm $t'_1$, and one of the following conditions hold:

- if $\text{inn}(t_1) \cap \{f, g\} \neq \emptyset$, then $t'_1 = f$,
- if $\text{inn}(t_1) \cap \{f, g\} = \emptyset$, then $t'_1 = f[s'_1, s'_2]$, $s'_1, s'_2 \in cT^{(2)}$ such that the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^m(s'_1)$ is $\{g\}$ or $\emptyset$.

For example, let $f$ and $g$ be cooperation symbols of type $(2, 2)$. Then we have

- $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent where $t_2 = e_1^2$ and $t_1 = g[e_2^2, e_2^2], f[e_2^2, e_2^2]$.
- $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is not idempotent where $t_2 = e_2^2$ and $t_1 = f[g[e_1^2, e_1^2]]$.

Next, we give a characterization that a weak projection colypersubstitution $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent where $\text{inn}(t_1) = E_2$, $i = 1, 2$.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let $\sigma_{t_1,t_2} \in WP(2,2) \cap P(2,2)$, $t_1 = e_1^2$, $co(t_2) > 1$, $t_2 = F[s_1, s_2]$ with $P^1(t_2) = F_1 \cdots F_k$ for some natural number $k$ where $F, F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\text{inn}(t_2) = E_2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent.

(ii) In $P^1(t_2)$, there exists the smallest positive integer $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $F_l = g$ with a subcoterm $t'_2$ of $t_2$, and one of the following conditions holds:

- $t'_2 = g$,
- if $t'_2 = g[s'_1, s'_2]$ where $s'_1, s'_2 \in cT^{(2)}$, then the following conditions hold:
  - the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^1(s'_1)$ is $\{f\}$ or $\emptyset$, and $M^1(s'_1) = e_2^2$ or $M^1(s'_1) = f$,
  - the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^1(s'_2)$ is $\{f\}$ or $\emptyset$ and $M^1(s'_2) = e_2^2$.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Since $P^1(t_2) = F_1 \cdots F_k$ where $F_l \in \{f, g\} \cup E$, $1 \leq l \leq k$ for some natural number $k$, then there exists $q \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $F_q = g$ since otherwise $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}[t_2] \in E_2$, which is a contradiction. Let $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ be the smallest positive integer such that $F_l = g$ with the subcoterm $t'_2$ of $t_2$ where $t'_2 = g$ or $t'_2 = g[s'_1, s'_2], s'_1, s'_2 \in cT^{(2)}$. Assume that $t'_2 \neq g$. Then $t'_2 = g[s'_1, s'_2]$. 


$s_1', s_2' \in cT^{(2)}$. Suppose that the nonempty set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^1(s_1')$ is not $\{f\}$. Since $\sigma_{t_1, t_2}$ is idempotent,

$$
t_2 = \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2]
= \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t'_2]
= \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[g[s'_1, s'_2]]
= S_2^2(\sigma_{t_1, t_2}(g), \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[s'_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[s'_2])
= S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[s'_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[s'_2]).
$$

This implies that the coterm $S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[s'_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[s'_2])$ must be longer than the coterm $t_2$. This follows that $\hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2] \neq t_2$, which is a contradiction. Hence, the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^1(s_1')$ is $\{f\}$ or $\emptyset$. Suppose that $M^1(s_1') = e^2_2$. Then we have to replace $e^2_2$ in $\text{inn}(t_2)$ of the coterm $t_2$ by $e^2_2$. This implies that $t_2 \neq \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2]$, which is a contradiction. Suppose that $M^1(s_1') = g$. Then the coterm $S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[s'_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[s'_2])$ must be longer than the coterm $t_2$. This implies that $t_2 \neq \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[t_2]$, which is a contradiction. If $M^1(s_1') = e^2_1$ or $M^1(s_1') = f$, then we replace $e^2_1$ in $\text{inn}(t_2)$ of the coterm $t_2$ by $e^2_1$. Hence, $M^1(s_1') = e^2_1$ or $M^1(s_1') = f$. Similarly, we can show that the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^1(s_2')$ is $\{f\}$ or $\emptyset$. Suppose that $M^1(s_2') = e^2_1$ or $M^1(s_2') = f$. Then we have to replace $e^2_1$ in $\text{inn}(t_2)$ of the coterm $t_2$ by $e^2_1$. This implies that $t_2 \neq \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2]$. Suppose that $M^1(s_2') = g$. Then the coterm $S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[s'_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[s'_2])$ must be longer than the coterm $t_2$. Hence, for any cases we obtain $M^1(s_2') = e^2_2$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): In $P^1(t_2)$, there exists the smallest positive integer $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $F_l = g$ with a subcoterm $t_2'$. It is clear that if $t_2' = g$, then $\hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2] = \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2'] = \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[g] = t_2$. Now, if $t_2' \neq g$ we consider

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2] = \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t'_2]
= \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[g[s'_1, s'_2]]
= S_2^2(\sigma_{t_1, t_2}(g), \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[s'_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[s'_2])
= S_2^2(t_2, \hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[s'_1], \sigma_{t_1, t_2}[s'_2]).
$$

If $M^1(s_1') = e^2_1$ and $M^1(s_2') = e^2_2$, then we have to replace $e^2_1, e^2_2, f$ and $g$ in $\text{inn}(t_2)$ by $e^2_1, e^2_2, f$ and $g$, respectively. So, $\hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2] = t_2$. If $M^1(s_1') = f$ and $M^1(s_2') = e^2_1$, then we have to replace $e^2_1, e^2_2, f$ and $g$ in $\text{inn}(t_2)$ by $e^2_1, e^2_2, f$ and $g$, respectively. So, $\hat{\sigma}_{t_1, t_2}[t_2] = t_2$. Therefore, $\sigma_{t_1, t_2}$ is idempotent.

For example, let $f$ and $g$ be cooperation symbols of type $(2, 2)$. Then we have

- $\sigma_{t_1, t_2}$ is idempotent where $t_1 = e^2_1$ and $t_2 = e^2_1[f[g[f, e^2_2], g[f[e^2_2, e^2_1], f]], g[g, f]].$

- $\sigma_{t_1, t_2}$ is not idempotent where $t_1 = e^2_1$ and $t_2 = f[g[e^2_2, e^2_1], f[e^2_1, e^2_1]].$

Similarly, we can prove the following propositions.
Proposition 3.9. Let $\sigma_{t_1,t_2} \in WP(2,2) \setminus P(2,2)$, $t_1 = e_2^2$, $co(t_2) > 1$, $t_2 = F[s_1,s_2]$ with $P^2(t_2) = F_1 \cdots F_k$ for some natural number $k$ where $F,F_i \in \{f,g\} \cup E$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\inf(t_2) = E_2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent.

(ii) In $P^2(t_2)$, there exists the smallest positive integer $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $F_l = g$ with a subcoterm $t_2'$, and one of the following conditions hold:

- $t_2' = g$,
- if $t_2' = g[s'_1,s'_2]$ where $s'_1,s'_2 \in cT^{(2)}_{(2,2)}$, then the following conditions hold:
  - the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^2(s'_1)$ is $\{f\}$ or $\emptyset$, and $M^2(s'_1) = e_2^2$,
  - the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^2(s'_2)$ is $\{f\}$ or $\emptyset$ and $M^2(s'_2) = e_2^2$ or $M^2(s'_2) = g$.

For example, let $f$ and $g$ be cooperation symbols of type $(2,2)$. Then we have

- $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent where $t_1 = e_2^2$ and $t_2 = g[f,g,e_1^2], f[e_2^2, f[e_1^2, e_2^2]]$.
- $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is not idempotent where $t_1 = e_2^2$ and $t_2 = e_1^2[f,g,f[e_1^2, e_2^2]]$.

Proposition 3.10. Let $\sigma_{t_1,t_2} \in WP(2,2) \setminus P(2,2)$, $t_2 = e_2^2$, $co(t_2) > 1$, $t_1 = F[s_1,s_2]$ with $P^1(t_1) = F_1 \cdots F_k$ for some natural number $k$ where $F,F_i \in \{f,g\} \cup E$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\inf(t_1) = E_2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent.

(ii) In $P^1(t_1)$, there exists the smallest positive integer $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $F_l = f$ with a subcoterm $t_1'$, and one of the following conditions hold:

- $t_1' = f$,
- if $t_1' = f[s'_1,s'_2]$ where $s'_1,s'_2 \in cT^{(2)}_{(2,2)}$, then the following conditions hold:
  - the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^1(s'_1)$ is $\{g\}$ or $\emptyset$, and $M^1(s'_1) = e_2^2$ or $M^1(s'_1) = f$,
  - the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^1(s'_2)$ is $\{g\}$ or $\emptyset$ and $M^1(s'_2) = e_2^2$.

For example, let $f$ and $g$ be cooperation symbols of type $(2,2)$. Then we have

- $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent where $t_2 = e_2^2$ and $t_1 = g[f,g,e_1^2], f[e_2^2,g]$.
- $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is not idempotent where $t_2 = e_2^2$ and $t_1 = g[f[e_2^2,e_1^2], g[e_2^2,e_2^2]]$. 
Proposition 3.11. Let $\sigma_{t_1,t_2} \in WP(2,2) \setminus P(2,2)$, $t_2 = e_2^2$, $co(t_2) > 1$, $t_1 = F[s_1,s_2]$ with $P^2(t_1) = F_1 \cdots F_k$ for some natural number $k$ where $F, F_i \in \{f, g\} \cup E$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $inn(t_1) = E_2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent.

(ii) In $P^2(t_1)$, there exists the smallest positive integer $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $F_l = f$ with a subcoterm $t'_1$, and one of the following conditions hold;

• $t'_1 = f$,

• if $t'_1 = f[s'_1,s'_2] \in c T^{(2)}_{(2,2)}$, then the following conditions hold;
  - the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^2(s'_1)$ is $\{g\}$ or $\emptyset$, and $M^2(s'_1) = c_1^2$,
  - the set of cooperation symbols occurring in $P^2(s'_2)$ is $\{g\}$ or $\emptyset$ and $M^2(s'_2) = e_2^2$ or $M^2(s'_2) = f$.

For example, let $f$ and $g$ be cooperation symbols of type $(2,2)$. Then we have

• $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is idempotent where $t_2 = e_2^2$ and $t_1 = e_1^2[f[e_1^1,e_1^1],f[e_1^2,e_2^2],f[g[e_1^1,e_1^1],f[e_1^2,e_2^2]]]$.

• $\sigma_{t_1,t_2}$ is not idempotent where $t_2 = e_2^2$ and $t_1 = e_1^2[g[f[e_1^1,e_1^1],f[e_1^2,e_2^2]],f[g[e_1^1,e_1^1],f[e_1^1,e_2^2]]]$.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the referees for his comments and suggestions on the manuscript. The research was supported by Development and Promotion of Science and Technology Talents Project (DPST), Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University.

References


(Received 26 March 2017)
(Accepted 30 June 2017)