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Shrinking Projection Methods

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $C$ be a nonempty subset of $H$. A subset $C \subset H$ is said to be proximinal if for each $x \in H$, there exists $y \in C$ such that

$$\|x - y\| = d(x, C) = \inf \{ \| x - z \| : z \in C \}.$$

Let $CB(C), K(C)$ and $P(C)$ denote the families of nonempty closed bounded subsets, nonempty compact subsets and nonempty proximinal bounded subset of $C$, respectively. The Hausdorff metric on $CB(C)$ is defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\}$$

for all $A, B \in CB(C)$ where $d(x, B) = \inf_{b \in B} \| x - b \|$. A singlevalued mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in C$. A multivalued mapping $T : C \to CB(C)$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in C$. An element $z \in C$ is called a fixed point of $T : C \to C$ (resp., $T : C \to CB(C)$) if $z = Tz$ (resp., $z \in Tz$). The fixed point set of $T$ is denoted by $F(T)$. If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$H(Tx, Tp) \leq \|x - p\|$$

for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$, then $T$ is said to be quasi-nonexpansive.

In 1953, Mann [29] introduced the iteration procedure as follows:

$$x_1 \in C, x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)T_{x_n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{N}$ the set of all positive integers. Recently, many mathematician (see [10, 12, 23]) used Mann’s iteration for obtaining weak convergence theorem.

In 2008, Takahashi et al. [29] introduced a new projection method which is called the shrinking projection method by using the modification Mann’s iteration for obtaining strong convergence theorem for a countable family of nonexpansive singlevalued mapping in Hilbert spaces. They proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** [29] Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $\{T_n\}$ and $\tau$ be a family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into $H$ such that $F := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) = F(\tau) \neq \emptyset$ and let $x_0 \in H$. Suppose that $\{T_n\}$ satisfies the NST-condition (I) with $\tau$. For $C_1 = C$ and $u_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$, define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $C$ as follows:

\[
\begin{cases}
  y_n = \alpha_n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)T_n u_n, \\
  C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \| y_n - z \| \leq \| u_n - z \| \} , \\
  u_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{cases}
\]
where \( 0 \leq \alpha_n \leq a < 1 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then the sequence \( \{u_n\} \) converges strongly to a point \( z_0 = P_{F}x_0 \).

In 2008, Kohsaka and Takahashi [19, 29] presented a new mapping which is called a nonspreading mapping and obtained fixed point theorems for a single nonspreading mapping and also a common fixed point theorem for a commutative family of nonspreading mapping in Banach spaces. Let \( H \) be a Hilbert space and \( C \) be nonempty closed and convex subset of \( H \). Then a mapping \( T : C \to C \) is said to be nonspreading if

\[
2\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - Ty\|^2 + \|y - Tx\|^2
\]

for all \( x, y \in C \). Recently, Iemoto and Takahashi [13] showed that \( T : C \to C \) is nonspreading if and only if

\[
\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2\langle x - Ty, y - Ty \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in C.
\]

Further, Takahashi [28] defined a class of nonlinear mapping which is called hybrid as follows:

\[
3\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|y - Tx\|^2 + \|x - Ty\|^2
\]

for all \( x, y \in C \). It was shown that a mapping \( T : C \to C \) is hybrid if and only if

\[
3H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|^2 + d(y, Tx)^2 + d(x, Ty)^2,
\]

for all \( x, y \in C \). They showed that if \( T \) is hybrid and \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \), then \( T \) is quasi-nonexpansive. The following example shows that \( T \) is hybrid but \( T \) is not nonexpansive.

**Example 1.2.** [3] Let \( H = \mathbb{R} \). Consider \( C = [0, 3] \) with the usual norm. Define a multivalued mapping \( T : C \to CB(C) \) by

\[
Tx = \begin{cases} 
\{0\}, & x \in [0, 2]; \\
\left[0, \frac{x}{x+1}\right], & x \in (2, 3].
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( F : C \times C \to \mathbb{R} \) be a bifunction, where \( \mathbb{R} \) is the set of real number. The equilibrium problem is the problem of finding a point \( \hat{x} \in C \) such that

\[
F(\hat{x}, y) \geq 0
\]

for all \( y \in C \), which has been introduced and studied by Blum and Oettli [2]. The solution set of the equilibrium problem (1.2) is denoted by \( EP(F) \).
In 2013, Kazmi and Rizvi \cite{14} introduced and studied the following split equilibrium problem which is a generalization of the equilibrium problem:

Let $H_1$, $H_2$ be real Hilbert spaces. Let $C \subseteq H_1$ and $Q \subseteq H_2$ and let $F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ and $F_2 : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be two bifunctions. Let $A : H_1 \to H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. The **split equilibrium problem** is to find $\hat{x} \in C$ such that

$$F_1(\hat{x}, x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C$$

and

$$\hat{y} = A\hat{x} \in Q \text{ solves } F_2(\hat{y}, y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in Q.$$  

Note that the inequality (1.3) is the classical equilibrium problem (1.2). The problems (1.3) and (1.4) constitute a pair of equilibrium problems which have to find the image $\hat{y} = A\hat{x}$, under a given bounded linear operator $A$, of the solution $\hat{x}$ of the problem (1.3) in $H_1$ which is the solution of the problem (1.3) in $H_2$. It’s easy to see that the split equilibrium problem generalize an equilibrium problem. We denote the solution set of the problem (1.4) by $EP(F_2)$. The solution set of the split equilibrium (1.3) and (1.4) is denoted by $\Omega = \{ z \in EP(F_1) : Az \in EP(F_2) \}$.

Since 2013, the study of a split equilibrium problem and a fixed point problem for a singlevalued mapping was introduced by many authors (see \cite{3, 5, 11, 14, 17, 18, 21, 22, 30, 31}) and references therein.

Inspired by above works, we present two different hybrid methods which are the modified Shrinking projection method for a split equilibrium problem and a hybrid multivalued mapping in a Hilbert space by using Hausdorff metric. As application, we give examples and numerical results for supporting our main results and compare the rate of convergence of two iterative methods.

## 2 Preliminaries

We now provide some results for the main results. In a Hilbert space $H_1$, let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $H_1$. We write $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ to indicate that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $x$ and $x_n \to x$ implies that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x$. For every point $x \in H_1$, there exists a unique nearest point of $C$, denoted by $P_C x$, such that $\|x - P_C x\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $y \in C$. Such a $P_C$ is called the **metric projection** from $H_1$ on to $C$. Further, for any $x \in H_1$ and $z \in C$, $z = P_C x$ if and only if

$$\langle x - z, z - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$  

A mapping $T : C \to H$ is said to be $k$–**Lipschitz continuous** if there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq k\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$
A mapping $A : C \to H$ is called $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$
\langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle \geq \alpha \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.
$$

We know that if $T : C \to C$ is nonexpansive, then $A = I - T$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-inverse strongly monotone; see [23, 24, 27] for more details. It is well known that every nonexpansive operator $T : H_1 \to H_1$ satisfies, for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_1$, the inequality

$$
\langle (x - T(x)) - (y - T(y)), T(y) - T(x) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}\|T(x) - x\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|T(y) - y\|^2
$$

and therefore we get, for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times F(T),

$$
\langle (x - T(x)), (y - T(y)) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}\|T(x) - x\|^2
$$

see, e.g., [8, 11].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $H_1$ be a real Hilbert space. Then the following equations hold:

1. $\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 - 2\langle x - y, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H_1$;
2. $\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle x, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H_1$;
3. $\|tx + (1 - t)y\|^2 = t\|x\|^2 + (1 - t)\|y\|^2 - t(1 - t)\|x - y\|^2$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$
and $x, y \in H_1$;
4. If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $H_1$ which converges weakly to $z \in H_1$, then

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\|^2 = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - z\|^2 + \|z - y\|^2
$$

for all $y \in H_1$.

**Lemma 2.2.** [24] Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H_1$ and $P_C : H_1 \to C$ be the metric projection from $H_1$ onto $C$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\|y - P_Cx\|^2 + \|x - P_Cx\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H_1, \forall y \in C.
$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [18] Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H_1$. Given $x, y, z \in H_1$ and also given $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the set

$$
\{v \in C : \|y - v\|^2 \leq \|x - v\|^2 + (z, v) + a\}
$$

is convex and closed.

**Assumption 2.4.** [2] Let $F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying the following assumptions:

1. $F_1(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$;
2. $F_1$ is monotone, i.e., $F_1(x, y) + F_1(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in C$;
3. For each $x, y, z \in C$, lim sup$_{t \to 0} F_1(tz + (1 - t)x, y) \leq F_1(x, y)$;
4. For each $x \in C$, $y \to F_1(x, y)$ is convex and lower semi-continuous.
Lemma 2.5 \[\text{[2]}\] Let $F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying Assumption [23]. For any $r > 0$ and $x \in H_1$, define a mapping $T_r^{F_1} : H_1 \to C$ as follows:

$$T_r^{F_1}(x) = \left\{ z \in C : F_1(z, y) + \frac{1}{r}(y - z, z - x) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}.$$  

Then we have the following:

1. $T_r^{F_1}$ is nonempty and single-value;
2. $T_r^{F_1}$ is firmly nonexpansive, i.e., for any $x, y \in H_1$,
   $$\|T_r^{F_1}x - T_r^{F_1}y\|^2 \leq (T_r^{F_1}x - T_r^{F_1}y, x - y);$$
3. $F(T_r^{F_1}) = EP(F_1)$;
4. $EP(F_1)$ is closed and convex.

Further, assume that $F_2 : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Assumption [24]. For each $s > 0$ and $w \in H_2$, define a mapping $T_s^{F_2} : H_2 \to Q$ as follows:

$$T_s^{F_2}(w) = \left\{ d \in Q : F_2(d, e) + \frac{1}{s}(e - d, d - w) \geq 0, \forall e \in Q \right\}.$$  

Then we have the following:

5. $T_s^{F_2}$ is nonempty and single-value;
6. $T_s^{F_2}$ is firmly nonexpansive;
7. $F(T_s^{F_2}) = EP(F_2, Q)$;
8. $EP(F_2, Q)$ is closed and convex.

An operator $B : H_1 \to 2^{H_1}$ is said to be monotone if $\langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0$ whenever $y_1 \in Bx_1$ and $y_2 \in Bx_2$. A monotone operator $B$ is said to be maximal if the graph of $B$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator. It is known that a monotone operator $B$ is maximal if and only if $R(I + rB) = H_1$ for every $r > 0$, where $R(I + rB)$ is the range of $I + rB$. If $B : H_1 \to 2^{H_1}$ is a maximal monotone, then, for each $r > 0$, a mapping $T_r : H_1 \to D(B)$ is defined by $T_r = (I + rB)^{-1}$, where $D(B)$ is the domain of $A$. $T_r$ is called the resolvent of $B$. We also define the Yosida approximation $B_r = (I - T_r)/r$; see ([13, 23, 24]) for more details. We know the following fundamental results:

(i) $B_r x \in BT_r x$ for all $x \in H_1$;
(ii) if $B^{-1}0 = \{ z \in H_1 : 0 \in Bz \}$, then $B^{-1}0 = F(T_r)$ for all $r > 0$, where $F(T_r)$ is the set of fixed points of $T_r$;
(iii) $\|T_r x - T_r y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \|(I - T_r)x - (I - T_r)y\|^2$ for all $x, y \in H_1$ and $r > 0$, that is, $T_r$ is a nonexpansive mapping of $H_1$ into $H_1$.

Lemma 2.6 \[\text{[3]}\] Let $H_1$ be a Hilbert space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H_1$. Let $F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (A1) -(A4). Let $A_{F_1}$ be a set-valued mapping of $H_1$ into itself defined by

$$A_{F_1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\{ z \in H_1 : F_1(x, y) \geq (y - x, z), \forall y \in C \}, \ x \in C, \\
\emptyset, x \notin C.
\end{array} \right.$$
Then, $EP(F_1) = A_{F_1}^{-1}0$ and $A_{F_1}$ is a maximal monotone operator with $\text{dom}(A_{F_1}) \subset C$. Furthermore, for any $x \in H_1$ and $r > 0$, the resolvent $T_r$ of $F_1$ coincides with the resolvent of $A_{F_1}$, i.e.,

$$T_r x = (I + rA_{F_1})^{-1} x.$$  

**Lemma 2.7.** \[\square\] Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T : C \to K(C)$ be a hybrid multivalued mapping. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $C$ such that $x_n \to p$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ for some $y_n \in T x_n$. Then $p \in T p$.

**Lemma 2.8.** \[\square\] Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T : C \to K(C)$ be a hybrid multivalued mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then $F(T)$ is closed.

**Lemma 2.9.** \[\square\] Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T : C \to K(C)$ be a hybrid multivalued mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. If $T$ satisfies Condition (A), then $F(T)$ is convex.

**Condition(A).** Let $H_1$ be a Hilbert space and $C$ be a subset of $H_1$. A multi-valued mapping $T : C \to CB(C)$ is said to satisfy Condition (A) if $\|x - p\| = d(x, Tp)$ for all $x \in H_1$ and $p \in F(T)$.

**Remark 2.10.** We see that $T$ satisfies Condition (A) if and only if $T p = \{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$. It is known that the best approximation operator $P_T$, which is defined by $P_T x = \{ y \in Tx : \| y - x \| = d(x, Tx) \}$, also satisfies Condition (A).

### 3 Main Results

In this section, we obtain two different strong convergence theorems for finding a common element of solutions of split equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of a hybrid multivalued mapping in Hilbert spaces by using the Shrinking projection method.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $H_1$, $H_2$ be two real Hilbert spaces and let $C$, $Q$ be nonempty closed and convex subsets of $H_1$ and $H_2$, respectively. Let $A : H_1 \to H_2$ be a bounded linear operator and $T : C \to K(C)$ a hybrid multivalued mapping. Let $F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$, $F_2 : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be bifunctions satisfying Assumption \[\square\] and $F_2$ is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that $\Theta = F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$, where $\Omega = \{ z \in C : z \in EP(F_1) \text{ and } Az \in EP(F_2) \}$. For an initial point $x_1 \in H_1$ with $C_1 = C$, let $\{u_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ be sequences defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
    u_n &= T_{r_n}^{F_1} (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)x_n, \\
    y_n &= \alpha_n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)T u_n, \\
    C_{n+1} &= \{ z \in C_n : \| y_n - z \| \leq \| x_n - z \| \}, \\
    x_{n+1} &= P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

(3.1)
where \( \{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1) \), \( r_n \subset (0, \infty) \) and \( \gamma \in (0, 1/L) \) such that \( L \) is the spectral radius of \( A^*A \) and \( A^* \) is the adjoint of \( A \). Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \[ 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1; \]

(ii) \[ \liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0. \]

If \( T \) satisfies Condition (A), then the sequences \( \{u_n\} \), \( \{y_n\} \) and \( \{x_n\} \) converge strongly to \( P_\Theta x_1 \).

**Proof.** We split the proof into six steps.

**Step 1.** Show that \( P_{C_{n+1}} x_1 \) is well-defined for every \( x_1 \in H_1 \).

By Lemma 7 and 10, we obtain that \( F(T) \) is closed and convex. Since \( A \) is a bounded linear operator, it is easy to prove that \( \Omega \) is closed and convex. So, \( \Theta = F(T) \cap \Omega \) is also closed and convex. From the definition of \( C_{n+1} \), it follows from Lemma 10 that \( C_{n+1} \) is closed and convex for each \( n \geq 1 \). Since \( T_{r_n}^{F_2} \) is firmly nonexpansive and \( I - T_{r_n}^{F_2} \) is 1-inverse strongly monotone, we see that

\[
\begin{align*}
\|A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})Ax - A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})Ay\|^2 &= (A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})(Ax - Ay), A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})(Ax - Ay)) \\
&= \langle (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})(Ax - Ay), AA^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})(Ax - Ay) \rangle \\
&\leq L\langle (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})(Ax - Ay), (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})(Ax - Ay) \rangle \\
&= L\|I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}\|\|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\
&\leq L\langle Ax - Ay, (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})(Ax - Ay) \rangle \\
&= L\langle x - y, A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})Ax - A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})Ay \rangle
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( x, y \in H_1 \). This implies that \( A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A \) is a \( \frac{1}{L} \)-inverse strongly monotone mapping. Since \( \gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{L}) \), it follows that \( I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A \) is nonexpansive. Let \( p \in \Theta \). Then \( p = T_{r_n}^{F_2}p \) and \( (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)p = p \). Thus, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\|u_n - p\| &= \|T_{r_n}^{F_2}(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)x_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)p\| \\
&\leq \|(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)x_n - (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)p\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|. \quad (3.2)
\end{align*}
\]

This implies that

\[
\begin{align*}
\|y_n - p\| &= \|\alpha_n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n - p\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|u_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\|z_n - p\| \\
&= \alpha_n\|u_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, Tp) \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|u_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)H(Tu_n, Tp) \\
&\leq \|u_n - p\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( z_n \in Tu_n \). So, we have \( p \in C_{n+1} \), thus \( \Theta \subset C_{n+1} \). Therefore \( P_{C_{n+1}} x_1 \) is well defined.
Step 2. Show that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - x_1 \| \) exists.

Since \( \Theta \) is a nonempty closed and convex subset of \( H_1 \), there exists a unique \( v \in \Theta \) such that
\[
v = P_\Theta x_1.
\]
From \( x_n = P_{C_n} x_1, C_{n+1} \subset C_n \) and \( x_{n+1} \in C_n, \forall n \geq 1 \), we get
\[
\| x_n - x_1 \| \leq \| x_{n+1} - x_1 \|, \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\]
On the other hand, as \( \Theta \subset C_n \), we obtain
\[
\| x_n - x_1 \| \leq \| v - x_1 \|, \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\]
It follows that the sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) is bounded and nondecreasing. Therefore \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - x_1 \| \) exists.

Step 3. Show that \( x_n \to w \in C \) as \( n \to \infty \).

For \( m > n \), by the definition of \( C_n \), we see that \( x_m = P_{C_m} x_1 \in C_m \subset C_n \). By Lemma 22, we get
\[
\| x_m - x_n \|^2 \leq \| x_m - x_1 \|^2 - \| x_n - x_1 \|^2.
\]
From Step 2, we obtain that \( \{ x_n \} \) is Cauchy. Hence, there exists \( w \in C \) such that \( x_n \to w \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Step 4. Show that \( w \in F(T) \).

From Step 3, we get
\[
\| x_{n+1} - x_n \| \to 0 \tag{3.3}
\]
as \( n \to \infty \). Since \( x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n \), we have
\[
\| y_n - x_n \| \leq \| y_n - x_{n+1} \| + \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| \leq 2 \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| \to 0 \tag{3.4}
\]
as \( n \to \infty \). Hence, \( y_n \to w \) as \( n \to \infty \). For \( p \in \Theta \), we estimate
\[
\| u_n - p \|^2 = \| T_{r_n}^F (I - \gamma A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A) x_n - p \|^2
\]
\[
= \| T_{r_n}^F (I - \gamma A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A) x_n - T_{r_n}^F p \|^2
\]
\[
\leq \| x_n - \gamma A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A x_n - p \|^2
\]
\[
\leq \| x_n - p \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A x_n \|^2
\]
\[
+ 2 \gamma \langle p - x_n, A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A x_n \rangle.
\]
Thus we have
\[
\| u_n - p \|^2 \leq \| x_n - p \|^2 + \gamma^2 \langle A x_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2} A x_n, A A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A x_n \rangle
\]
\[
+ 2 \gamma \langle p - x_n, A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A x_n \rangle. \tag{3.5}
\]
On the other hand, we have
\[
\gamma^2 \langle A x_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2} A x_n, A A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A x_n \rangle \leq \gamma^2 \langle A x_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2} A x_n, A x_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2} A x_n \rangle
\]
\[
= \gamma^2 \| A x_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2} A x_n \|^2 \tag{3.6}
\]
Therefore, we have

\[
2\gamma \langle p - x_n, A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})Ax_n \rangle = 2\gamma \langle A(p - x_n), Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n \rangle \\
= 2\gamma \langle A(p - x_n) + (Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n) - (Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n), Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n \rangle \\
= 2\gamma \{\langle Ap - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n, Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n \rangle - \|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2\} \\
\leq 2\gamma \frac{1}{2}\|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2 - \|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2 \\
= -\gamma \|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2. \quad (3.7)
\]

Using (3.3), (3.4) and (3.7), we have

\[
\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + L\gamma^2\|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2 - \gamma\|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2 \\
= \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma(L\gamma - 1)\|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2. \quad (3.8)
\]

It follows that, for all \(z_n \in Tu_n\),

\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 = \|\alpha_nu_n + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|z_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, Tu) \|
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)H(Tu_n, Tu) \|
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
+ \gamma(L\gamma - 1)\|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2) \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma(L\gamma - 1)\|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
-\gamma(L\gamma - 1)\|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq (\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - p\|)\|x_n - y_n\|.
\]

It follows from \(\gamma(L\gamma - 1) < 0\) and (3.3) that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n\| = 0. \quad (3.9)
\]

Since \(T_{r_n}^{F_1}\) is firmly nonexpansive and \(I - \gamma A^*(T_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)A\) is nonexpansive, it follows
that
\[
\|u_n - p\|^2 = \|T_{r_n}^{F_n}(x_n - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n) - T_{r_n}^{F_n}p\|^2 \\
\leq \langle T_{r_n}^{F_n}(x_n - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n) - T_{r_n}^{F_n}p, x_n - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n - p \rangle \\
= \frac{1}{2}\{\|u_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n - p\|^2 \\
- \|u_n - x_n - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n\|^2\} \\
\leq \frac{1}{2}\{\|u_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n\|^2\} \\
= \frac{1}{2}\{\|u_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - (\|u_n - x_n\|^2 + \gamma^2\|A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n\|^2) \\
- 2\gamma\langle u_n - x_n, A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n \rangle\},
\]
which implies that
\[
\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n\|^2 \\
+ 2\gamma\langle u_n - x_n, A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n \rangle \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n\|^2 \\
+ 2\gamma\|u_n - x_n\|\|A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n\|. 
\] (3.10)

It follows from (3.11) that
\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 \leq \alpha_n\|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|z_n - p\|^2 \\
= \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, Tp)^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)d(Tu_n, Tp)^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
- \|u_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma\|u_n - x_n\|\|A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n\|)
\]

Therefore, we have
\[
(1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - x_n\|^2 \leq 2\gamma\|u_n - x_n\|\|A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_n})Ax_n\| + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2.
\]

From the condition (i), (3.11) and (3.10), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - x_n\| = 0. 
\] (3.11)

We know that \(x_n \to w\) as \(n \to \infty\), thus \(u_n \to w\) as \(n \to \infty\). It follows from Lemma
and (5.2), we have
\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 = \|\alpha_n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n) z_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n \|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
= \alpha_n \|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) d(z_n, Tp)^2 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n \|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) H(Tu_n, Tp)^2 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - z_n\|^2.
\]
This implies that
\[
\alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - z_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq (\|x_n - p\| + \|y_n - p\|) \|x_n - y_n\|.
\]
From the condition (i) and (5.2) that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - z_n\| = 0. \tag{3.12}
\]
By Lemma 24, we obtain \(w \in F(T)\).

**Step 5.** Show that \(w \in EP(F)\).

From \(u_n = T_{r_n}^{F_1} (I + \gamma A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A)x_n\), we have
\[
F_1(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n - \gamma A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A x_n \rangle \geq 0
\]
for all \(y \in C\), which implies that
\[
F_1(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle - \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, \gamma A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_2}) A x_n \rangle \geq 0
\]
for all \(y \in C\). By Assumption 24 (2), we have
\[
\frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle - \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, \gamma A^* (I - T_{r_n}^{F_1}) A x_n \rangle \geq F_1(y, u_n)
\]
for all \(y \in C\). From \(\liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0\), from (3.8), (3.10) and the Assumption 24 (4), we obtain
\[
F_1(y, w) \leq 0
\]
for all \(y \in C\). For any \(0 < t \leq 1\) and \(y \in C\), let \(y_t = ty + (1 - t)w\). Since \(y \in C\) and \(w \in C\), \(y_t \in C\) and hence \(F_1(y_t, w) \leq 0\). So, by Assumption 24 (1) and (4), we have
\[
0 = F_1(y_t, y_t) \leq tF_1(y_t, y) + (1 - t)F_1(y_t, w) \leq tF_1(y_t, y)
\]
and hence \(F_1(y, y) \geq 0\). So \(F_1(w, y) \geq 0\) for all \(y \in C\) and hence \(w \in EP(F_1)\). Since \(A\) is a bounded linear operator, \(Ax_{n_i} \to Aw\). Then it follows from (5.3) that
\[
T_{r_{n_i}}^{F_2} Ax_{n_i} \to Aw \tag{3.13}
\]
as $i \to \infty$. By the definition of $T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n$, we have

$$F_2(T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n, T_{r_n}^{F_2}Ax_n - Ax_n \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $y \in C$. Since $F_2$ is upper semi-continuous in the first argument and (3.13), it follows that

$$F_2(Aw, y) \geq 0$$

for all $y \in C$. This shows that $Aw \in EP(F_2)$. Hence $w \in \Omega$.

**Step 6.** Show that $w = v = P_{\Theta}x_1$.

Since $x_n = P_{C_n}x_1$ and $\Theta \subset C_n$, we obtain

$$\langle x_1 - x_n, x_n - p \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in \Theta. \tag{3.14}$$

By taking the limit in (3.14), we obtain

$$\langle x_1 - w, w - p \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in \Theta.$$

This shows that $w = P_{\Theta}x_1 = v$.

From Step 4, we obtain that $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge strongly to $v = P_{\Theta}x_1$. This completes the proof.

If $Tp = \{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$, then $T$ satisfies Condition (A). We then obtain the following result:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $H_1, H_2$ be two real Hilbert spaces and let $C$, $Q$ be nonempty closed and convex subsets of Hilbert spaces $H_1$ and $H_2$, respectively. Let $A : H_1 \to H_2$ be a bounded linear operator and $T : C \to K(C)$ a hybrid multivalued mapping. Let $F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$, $F_2 : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be bifunctions satisfying Assumption 2.4 and $F_2$ is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that $\Theta = F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$, where $\Omega = \{z \in C : z \in EP(F_1) \text{ and } Az \in EP(F_2)\}$. For an initial point $x_1 \in H_1$ with $C_1 = C$, let $\{u_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ be sequences defined by

$$\begin{cases}
  u_n = T_{r_n}^{F_1}(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)x_n, \\
y_n \in \alpha_n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tu_n, \\
C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|y_n - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\|\}, \\
x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_1, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, $r_n \subset (0, \infty)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1/L)$ such that $L$ is the spectral radius of $A^*A$ and $A^*$ is the adjoint of $A$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1$;

(ii) $\liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0$.

If $Tp = \{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$, then the sequences $\{u_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ converge strongly to $P_{\Theta}x_1$. 
Since \( P_T \) satisfies Condition (A), we also obtain the following result:

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( H_1, H_2 \) be two real Hilbert spaces and let \( C, Q \) be nonempty closed and convex subsets of Hilbert spaces \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \), respectively. Let \( A : H_1 \to H_2 \) be a bounded linear operator and \( T : C \to P(C) \) a multivalued mapping. Let \( F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}, F_2 : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R} \) be bifunctions satisfying Assumption \( \square \) and \( F_2 \) is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that \( \Theta = F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \), where \( \Omega = \{ z \in C : z \in EP(F_1) \text{ and } Az \in EP(F_2) \} \). For an initial point \( x_1 \in H_1 \) with \( C_1 = C \), let \( \{u_n\}, \{y_n\} \) and \( \{x_n\} \) be sequences defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  u_n = T^{F_1}_{r_n}(I - \gamma A^*(I - T^{F_2}_{r_n})A)x_n, \\
  y_n \in \alpha_n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)T u_n, \\
  C_{n+1} = \{ z \in C_n : \| y_n - z \| \leq \| x_n - z \| \}, \\
  x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_1, \ \forall n \geq 1
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.16)

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1), r_n \subset (0, \infty) \) and \( \gamma \in (0, 1/L) \) such that \( L \) is the spectral radius of \( A^*A \) and \( A^* \) is the adjoint of \( A \). Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \( 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1 \);

(ii) \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0 \).

If \( P_T \) is hybrid multivalued mapping and \( I - T \) is demiclosed at 0, then the sequences \( \{u_n\}, \{y_n\} \) and \( \{x_n\} \) converge strongly to \( P_\Theta x_1 \).

**Proof.** By the same proof as in Theorem \( \square \), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| u_n - z_n \| = 0
\]

where \( z_n \in P_T u_n \subseteq T u_n \). From \( I - T \) is demiclosed at 0, so we obtain the result. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( H_1, H_2 \) be two real Hilbert spaces and let \( C, Q \) be nonempty closed and convex subsets of \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \), respectively. Let \( A : H_1 \to H_2 \) be a bounded linear operator and \( T : C \to K(C) \) a hybrid multivalued mapping. Let \( F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}, F_2 : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R} \) be bifunctions satisfying Assumption \( \square \) and \( F_2 \) is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that \( \Theta = F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \), where \( \Omega = \{ z \in C : z \in EP(F_1) \text{ and } Az \in EP(F_2) \} \). For an initial point \( x_1 \in H_1 \) with \( C_1 = C \), let \( \{u_n\}, \{y_n\} \) and \( \{x_n\} \) be sequences defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  u_n = T^{F_1}_{r_n}(I - \gamma A^*(I - T^{F_2}_{r_n})A)x_n, \\
  y_n \in \alpha_n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tu_n, \\
  C_{n+1} = \{ z \in C_n : \| y_n - z \| \leq \| x_n - z \| \}, \\
  x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_1, \ \forall n \geq 1
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.17)

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1), r_n \subset (0, \infty) \) and \( \gamma \in (0, 1/L) \) such that \( L \) is the spectral radius of \( A^*A \) and \( A^* \) is the adjoint of \( A \). Assume that the following conditions hold:
(i) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1$;
(ii) $\liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0$.

If $T$ satisfies Condition (A), then the sequences $\{u_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ converge strongly to $P_\Theta x_1$.

**Proof.** As the same proof in Step 1 of Theorem 3.1, we have
\[
\|u_n - p\| = \|T^{F_1}_r (I - \gamma A^*(I - T^{F_2}_r) A) x_n - T^{F_1}_r (I - \gamma A^*(I - T^{F_2}_r) A)p\| \\
\leq \|(I - \gamma A^*(I - T^{F_2}_r) A) x_n - (I - \gamma A^*(I - T^{F_2}_r) A)p\| \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|. \tag{3.18}
\]
This implies that
\[
\|y_n - p\| = \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) z_n - p\| \\
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - p\| \\
= \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) d(z_n, Tp) \\
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) H(Tu_n, Tp) \\
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - p\| \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|
\]
for all $z_n \in Tu_n$. So, we have $p \in C_{n+1}$, thus $\Theta \subset C_{n+1}$. Therefore $P_{C_{n+1}} x_1$ is well defined.

From Step 2-3 in Theorem 3.1, we know that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Hence, there exists $w \in C$ such that $x_n \to w$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, we get
\[
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0 \tag{3.19}
\]
as $n \to \infty$. Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, we have
\[
\|y_n - x_n\| \leq \|y_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq 2 \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0 \tag{3.20}
\]
as $n \to \infty$. Hence, $y_n \to w$ as $n \to \infty$. For $p \in \Theta$, as the same proof in Step 4 of Theorem 3.1, we have
\[
\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|A x_n - T^{F_2}_r A x_n\|^2 - \gamma \|A x_n - T^{F_2}_r A x_n\|^2 \\
= \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma(L\gamma - 1) \|A x_n - T^{F_2}_r A x_n\|^2 . \tag{3.21}
\]
Since $T$ satisfies condition (A), for $z_n \in Tu_n$,
\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 = \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) z_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\
= \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) d(z_n, Tp)^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) H(Tu_n, Tp)^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
+ \gamma(L\gamma - 1) \|A x_n - T^{F_2}_r A x_n\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma(L\gamma - 1) \|A x_n - T^{F_2}_r A x_n\|^2 .
\]
Therefore, we have
\[
-\gamma(L_\gamma - 1)\|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{Fz}Ax_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq (\|x_n - p\| + \|y_n - p\|)\|x_n - y_n\|.
\]

It follows from \(\gamma(L_\gamma - 1) < 0\) and (3.20) that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Ax_n - T_{r_n}^{Fz}Ax_n\| = 0. \tag{3.22}
\]

From Step 4 in Theorem 3.1, we also have
\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 \leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|z_n - p\|^2 \\
= \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, Tp)^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)H(Tu_n, Tp)^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
- \|u_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma\|u_n - x_n\|\|A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{Fz})Ax_n\|).
\]

Therefore, we have
\[
(1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - x_n\|^2 \leq 2\gamma\|u_n - x_n\|\|A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{Fz})Ax_n\| + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2.
\]

From the condition (i), (3.20) and (3.22), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.23}
\]

We know that \(x_n \to w\) as \(n \to \infty\), thus \(u_n \to w\) as \(n \to \infty\). It follows from Lemma 2.11 and (3.13), we have
\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 = \|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|z_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
= \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n, Tp)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)H(Tu_n, Tp)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - z_n\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - z_n\|^2.
\]

This implies that
\[
\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|u_n - z_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq (\|x_n - p\| + \|y_n - p\|)\|x_n - y_n\|.
\]

From the condition (i) and (3.20) that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - z_n\| = 0. \tag{3.24}
\]

By Lemma 2.7, we obtain \(w \in F(T)\). As the same proof in Step 5-6 of Theorem 3.1, we can conclude that \(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}\) and \(\{u_n\}\) converge strongly to \(v = P_\Omega x_1\).

This completes the proof. \qed
If \( Tp = \{ p \} \) for all \( p \in F(T) \), then \( T \) satisfies Condition (A). We then obtain the following result:

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( H_1, H_2 \) be two real Hilbert spaces and let \( C, Q \) be nonempty closed and convex subsets of \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \), respectively. Let \( A : H_1 \to H_2 \) be a bounded linear operator and \( T : C \to K(C) \) a hybrid multivalued mapping. Let \( F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}, F_2 : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R} \) be bifunctions satisfying Assumption 2.4 and \( F_2 \) is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that \( \Theta = F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \), where \( \Omega = \{ z \in C : z \in EP(F_1) \text{ and } Az \in EP(F_2) \} \). For an initial point \( x_1 \in H_1 \) with \( C_1 = C \), let \( \{ u_n \}, \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ x_n \} \) be sequences defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_n &= T_{r_n}^{F_1} (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)x_n, \\
  y_n &= \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tu_n, \\
  C_{n+1} &= \{ z \in C_n : \| y_n - z \| \leq \| x_n - z \| \}, \\
  x_{n+1} &= P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.25)

where \( \{ \alpha_n \} \subset (0, 1) \), \( r_n \subset (0, \infty) \) and \( \gamma \in (0, 1/L) \) such that \( L \) is the spectral radius of \( A^*A \) and \( A^* \) is the adjoint of \( A \). Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \( 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1 \);

(ii) \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0 \).

If \( Tp = \{ p \} \) for all \( p \in F(T) \), then the sequences \( \{ u_n \}, \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ x_n \} \) converge strongly to \( P_\Theta x_1 \).

Since \( P_T \) satisfies Condition (A), we also obtain the following result:

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( H_1, H_2 \) be two real Hilbert spaces and let \( C, Q \) be nonempty closed and convex subsets of \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \), respectively. Let \( A : H_1 \to H_2 \) be a bounded linear operator and \( T : C \to P(C) \) a hybrid multivalued mapping. Let \( F_1 : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}, F_2 : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R} \) be bifunctions satisfying Assumption 2.4 and \( F_2 \) is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that \( \Theta = F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \), where \( \Omega = \{ z \in C : z \in EP(F_1) \text{ and } Az \in EP(F_2) \} \). For an initial point \( x_1 \in H_1 \) with \( C_1 = C \), let \( \{ u_n \}, \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ x_n \} \) be sequences defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_n &= T_{r_n}^{F_1} (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{r_n}^{F_2})A)x_n, \\
  y_n &= \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Pr_n u_n, \\
  C_{n+1} &= \{ z \in C_n : \| y_n - z \| \leq \| x_n - z \| \}, \\
  x_{n+1} &= P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.26)

where \( \{ \alpha_n \} \subset (0, 1) \), \( r_n \subset (0, \infty) \) and \( \gamma \in (0, 1/L) \) such that \( L \) is the spectral radius of \( A^*A \) and \( A^* \) is the adjoint of \( A \). Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) \( 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1 \);

(ii) \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0 \).

If \( P_T \) is hybrid multivalued mapping and \( I - T \) is demiclosed at 0, then the sequences \( \{ u_n \}, \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ x_n \} \) converge strongly to \( P_\Theta x_1 \).
Proof. By the same proof as in Theorem 3.4, we have
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \| u_n - z_n \| = 0 \]
where \( z_n \in P_T u_n \subseteq T u_n \). From \( I - T \) is demiclosed at 0, so we obtain the result.

We then apply our main theorems to solve the proximal point problems.

Remark 3.7. If, we set \( T_{r_n}^F = (I + r_n A_{F_1})^{-1} \) and \( T_{r_n}^{F_2} = (I + r_n A_{F_2})^{-1} \) where
\[
A_{F_1} = \begin{cases} \{ f_1 \in H_1 : F_1(x, y) \geq \langle y - x, f_1 \rangle, \forall y \in C \}, & x \in C \\ \emptyset, & x \notin C \end{cases}
\]
and
\[
A_{F_2} = \begin{cases} \{ f_2 \in H_2 : F_2(x, y) \geq \langle y - x, f_2 \rangle, \forall y \in Q \}, & x \in Q \\ \emptyset, & x \notin Q \end{cases}
\]
Then the sequences \( \{ u_n \}, \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ x_n \} \) generated in Theorem 3.1 - 3.6 converge strongly to \( P_{\Theta x_1} \), where \( \Theta = F(T) \cap \Omega \) and \( \Omega = \{ z \in C : z \in A_{F_1}^{-1}0 \text{ and } Az \in A_{F_2}^{-1}0 \} \).

4 Examples and Numerical Results

In this section, we give examples and numerical results for supporting our main theorem.

Example 4.1. Let \( H_1 = H_2 = \mathbb{R}, C = [1, 4] \) and \( Q = [0, \infty) \). Let \( F_1(u, v) = 2(u - 4)(v - u) \) for all \( u, v \in C \) and \( F_2(x, y) = 2(x - 8)(y - x) \) for all \( x, y \in Q \). Define two mappings \( A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( T : C \to K(C) \) by \( Ax = 2x \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) and
\[
T x = \begin{cases} \{ 4 \}, & x \in [2, 4]; \\ [x - 4 \cdot \frac{\tan^{-1}(20x - 45)}{2} + x, 4], & x \notin [2, 4]. \end{cases}
\]
Choose \( \alpha_n = \frac{n}{5n^3 + 3}, r_n = \frac{n}{200n^2 + 2} \) and \( \gamma = \frac{1}{200} \). It is easy to check that \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) satisfy all conditions in Theorem 3.1 and \( T \) satisfies Condition (A) such that \( F(T) = \{ 4 \} \).

Now, we show that \( T \) is hybrid. In fact, we have the following case:
Case 1: If \( x, y \in [2, 4] \), then \( H(T x, T y) = 0 \).
Case 2: If \( x \in [2, 4] \) and \( y \notin [2, 4] \), then \( T x = \{ 4 \} \) and \( T y = [(y - 4) \cdot \frac{\tan^{-1}(20y - 45)}{2} + y, 4] \). This implies that
\[
3H(T x, T y)^2 = 3 \left( (y - 4) \cdot \frac{\tan^{-1}(20y - 45)}{2} + y - 4 \right)^2 < 3 < \| x - y \|^2 + d(x, T y)^2 + d(y, T x)^2.
\]
Case 3: If \( x, y \not\in [2, 4] \), then \( T x = \left( (y - 4) \cdot \tan^{-1}(20x - 45) + x, 4 \right) \) and \( T y = \left( (y - 4) \cdot \tan^{-1}(20y - 45) + y, 4 \right) \). This implies that

\[
3H(Tx, Ty)^2 = 3 \left( (x - 4) \cdot \frac{\tan^{-1}(20x - 45)}{2} + x - (y - 4) \cdot \frac{\tan^{-1}(20y - 45)}{2} + y \right)^2 < 3 < \|x - y\|^2 + d(x, Ty)^2 + d(y, Tx)^2.
\]

On the other hand, \( T \) is not nonexpansive since for \( x = 1.83 \) and \( y = 2.18 \), we have \( Tx = [3.41, 4] \) and \( Ty = \{4\} \). This implies that

\[
H(Tx, Ty) = 4 - 3.41 = 0.39 > 0.35 = |1.83 - 2.18| = \|x - y\|.
\]

Choosing \( x_1 = 2 \) and taking randomly \( y_n \in \alpha_n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tu_n \), we obtain the numerical results of iteration (3.17) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Randomized in the 1st</th>
<th>Randomized in the 2nd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( u_n )     ( y_n ) ( x_n )</td>
<td>( u_n )     ( y_n ) ( x_n )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.980296   3.238563  2.000000</td>
<td>1.990245   3.309093  2.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.600318   3.784664  2.619281</td>
<td>2.635056   3.790009  2.654546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.174306   3.980938  3.201973</td>
<td>3.194307   3.865718  3.222278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.495741   3.984921  3.532179</td>
<td>3.511217   3.914994  3.543998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.687122   3.987276  3.722574</td>
<td>3.693940   3.945346  3.729496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.796242   3.988661  3.833351</td>
<td>3.800251   3.963682  3.837421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.860073   3.989470  3.898152</td>
<td>3.862437   3.974659  3.900552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.897540   3.989939  3.936188</td>
<td>3.898936   3.981197  3.937605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.919580   3.990206  3.958563</td>
<td>3.920406   3.985076  3.959401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...         ...       ...</td>
<td>...         ...       ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.950556   3.990257  3.990010</td>
<td>3.950558   3.990258  3.990012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Numerical results of iteration (3.17) being randomized in two times.

Choosing \( x_1 = 2 \) and taking randomly \( y_n \in \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tu_n \), we also obtain the numerical results of iteration (3.17) as follows:
Table 2. Numerical results of iteration (3.17) being randomized in two times.

From Table 1, we see that 4 is the solution in Example 4.1.

We next show error plots for comparing the convergence of iterations (3.1) and (3.17).

Figure 1. Error plots for all sequences \( \{x_n\} \) in Table 1 and Table 2 being randomized in the first time.
Figure 2. Error plots for sequences $\{x_n\}$ in Table 1 and Table 2 being randomized in the second time.

Remark 4.2. We see that the iteration (3.17) converges faster than the iteration (3.1) under the same conditions.
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