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1 Introduction

Variational inequality theory introduced by Stampacchia [1] and Fichera [2], has become a rich source of inspiration and motivation for the study of a large number of problems arising in mechanics, elasticity and optimization and control problems, boundary value problems etc., see [1-17]. In the last four decades, considerable interest has been shown in developing various classes of variational inequalities and system of variational inequality problems. One of the most important and interesting problem in the theory of variational inequalities is the development of numerical methods which provide an efficient and implementable algorithm for solving variational inequalities and its generalization. This theory provides a simple, natural and unified framework for a general treatment of unrelated problems, which have motivated a large number of mathematicians to generalize and extend the variational inequalities and related optimization problems in several directions using novel techniques, see [1-17].

By using the projection technique, Noor [3], Noor et al. [4], Verma [5] studied the existence of solution for some classes of variational and quasi-variational inequalities involving single and multi-valued mappings in Banach spaces. Recently, by using the projection technique, Noor [6, 7] studied the existence of solution for some classes of extended general variational inequalities in the setting of Hilbert and Banach spaces.

Very recently, by using the projection technique, Chang et al. [8, 9], Cho et al. [10], Feng et al. [11], Huang et al. [12], Noor et al. [13], Verma [14] and Zou et al. [15] studied the existence theory for various classes of system of general variational inequalities and system of variational inclusions in the setting of Hilbert and Banach spaces.

Inspired by recent research works in this area, in this paper, we consider a system of multi-valued extended general quasi-variational inequality problems (SMEGQVIP, for short) in real Hilbert spaces. Using the projection operator technique, it is observed that the SMEGQVIP is equivalent to the system of projection equations. This alternative equivalence formulation is used to suggest an iterative algorithm for the SMEGQVIP. Further, we prove the existence of a solution of SMEGQVIP and discuss the convergence analysis of iterative sequences generated by given algorithm. The technique and results presented in this paper generalize and improve the corresponding technique and results given in [3-15].

2 Preliminaries

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space whose norm and inner product are denoted by $\| \cdot \|$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$, respectively; let $2^H$ be the family of all nonempty subsets of $H$ and let $CB(H)$ be the family of all nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of $H$. The Hausdorff metric $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $CB(H)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(C, D) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in C} \inf_{y \in D} d(x, y), \sup_{y \in D} \inf_{x \in C} d(x, y) \right\}, \quad C, D \in CB(H).$$
From now onwards, unless or otherwise stated, let \( I = \{1, 2\} \) be an index set and for each \( i \in I \), let \( H_i \) be a real Hilbert space whose inner product and norm are denoted by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i \) and \( \| \cdot \|_i \), respectively. Let \( A, C : H_1 \rightarrow CB(H_1), B, D : H_2 \rightarrow CB(H_2) \) be multi-valued mappings and \( N_i : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow H_i, \ g_i, h_i : H_i \rightarrow H_i \) be nonlinear mappings. Let \( K_1 : H_1 \rightarrow 2^{H_1} \) and \( K_2 : H_2 \rightarrow 2^{H_2} \) be such that for each fixed \( x \in H_1, y \in H_2 \), \( K_1(x) \) and \( K_2(y) \) are nonempty closed convex sets in \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \), respectively, such that \( (g_1(x), g_2(y)) \in \text{domain}(K_1(x), K_2(y)), \forall (x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2 \). We consider the following system of multi-valued extended general quasi-variational inequality problems (SMEGQVIP):

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle N_1(u, v) + h_1(x) - g_1(x), g_1(v_1) - h_1(x) \rangle_1 & \geq 0, \ \forall v_1 \in H_1 : g_1(v_1) \in K_1(x), \quad (2.1) \\
\langle N_2(w, z) + h_2(y) - g_2(y), g_2(v_2) - h_2(y) \rangle_2 & \geq 0, \ \forall v_2 \in H_2 : g_2(v_2) \in K_2(y). \quad (2.2)
\end{align*}
\]

The corresponding quasi-variational inequality problem has been studied in many practical problems, \( K(x) \) has the following form \( K(x) \equiv m(x) + K, \forall x \in H \), where \( m : H \rightarrow H \) is a single-valued mapping and \( K \) is a nonempty, closed and convex set of \( H \).

**Some Special Cases of SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2):**

1. If \( h_1 \equiv g_1; h_2 \equiv g_2 \), then SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2) reduces to the problem of finding \( (x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2, u \in A(x), v \in B(y), w \in C(x), z \in D(y) \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle N_1(u, v), g_1(v_1) - g_1(x) \rangle_1 & \geq 0, \ \forall v_1 \in H_1 : g_1(v_1) \in K_1(x), \quad (2.3) \\
\langle N_2(w, z), g_2(v_2) - g_2(y) \rangle_2 & \geq 0, \ \forall v_2 \in H_2 : g_2(v_2) \in K_2(y). \quad (2.4)
\end{align*}
\]

which is known as system of multi-valued quasi-variational inequality problems, similar type problem has been studied by many authors, see [9-14].

2. If \( H \equiv H_1 \equiv H_2; T(x, x) \equiv N_1(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv N_2(\cdot, \cdot); h \equiv h_1 \equiv h_2; \ g \equiv g_1 \equiv g_2; \ K(x) \equiv K \) and \( x = y \), then SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2) reduces to the problem of finding \( x \in H, \ h(x) \in K \) such that

\[
\langle T(x, x) + h(x) - g(x), g(v) - h(x) \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall v \in H : g(v) \in K, \quad (2.5)
\]

which is known as extended variational inequality, similar type problem has been studied by Noor [6, 7].

Further, it is remarked that for a suitable choice of the mappings \( A, B, C, D, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2, K_1, K_2, N_1, N_2 \) and the spaces \( H_1, H_2 \), one can obtain many other known systems of variational inequalities, variational inequalities from SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2), see for example [3-15] and the references therein.

Now, we give the following known concepts and results which are needed in the sequel:
Lemma 2.1. Let $K$ be a closed and convex set in $H$. Then for a given $z \in H$, 
$u \in K$ satisfies the inequality

$$\langle u - z, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \forall v \in K,$$

if and only if $u = P_K(z)$, where $P_K$ is the projection of $H$ onto the closed convex set $K$ in $H$.

It is well known that the projection operator $P_K$ is nonexpansive operator, i.e.,

$$\|P_K(x) - P_K(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in H.$$

Definition 2.2 ([8]). A multi-valued mapping $T : H \to CB(H)$ is said to be $\xi$-$H$-Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $\xi > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}(T(x), T(y)) \leq \xi \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in H,$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hausdorff metric space on $CB(H)$.

Lemma 2.3 ([16]).

(a) Let $A : H \to CB(H)$ be a multi-valued mapping. Then for any given $\xi > 0$ and for any given $x, y \in H$ and $u \in A(x)$, there exists $v \in A(y)$ such that

$$d(u, v) \leq (1 + \xi) \mathcal{H}(A(x), A(y));$$

(b) If $T : H \to C(H)$, then above inequality holds for $\xi = 0$.

Definition 2.4. A mapping $g : H \to H$ is said to be

(i) $\sigma$-strongly monotone if there exists a constant $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\langle g(x) - g(y), x - y \rangle \geq \sigma \|x - y\|^2 \forall x, y \in H;$$

(ii) $\delta$-Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq \delta \|x - y\| \forall x, y \in H.$$

Definition 2.5. Let $A, C : H_1 \to CB(H_1), B, D : H_2 \to CB(H_2)$. A mapping $N_1 : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_1$ is said to be

(i) $\alpha_1$-strongly monotone with respect to $A$ in the first argument if there exists a constant $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that

$$\langle N_1(u_1, v) - N_1(u_2, v), x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq \alpha_1 \|x_1 - x_2\| \!^2,$$

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in H_1, y \in H_2, u_1 \in A(x_1), u_2 \in A(x_2), v \in B(y);$$
(ii) \((\beta_1, \gamma_1)\)-mixed Lipschitz continuous if there exist constants \(\beta_1, \gamma_1 > 0\) such that
\[
\|N_1(u_1, v_1) - N_1(u_2, v_2)\|_1 \leq \beta_1\|u_1 - u_2\|_1 + \gamma_1\|v_1 - v_2\|_2,
\]
\(x_1, x_2 \in H_1, y_1, y_2 \in H_2, u_1 \in A(x_1), u_2 \in A(x_2), v_1 \in B(y_1), v_2 \in B(y_2)\).

**Definition 2.6.** A mapping \(h : H \rightarrow H\) is said to be relaxed \((d, e)\)-cocoercive if there exist constants \(d, e > 0\) such that
\[
\langle h(x_1) - h(x_2), x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq -d\|h(x_1) - h(x_2)\|^2 + e\|x_1 - x_2\|^2, \forall x_1, x_2 \in H.
\]

**Remark 2.7.** The class of relaxed \((d, e)\)-cocoercive mappings is more general than the class of strongly monotone mappings, see [5, 9–14].

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \(H\) be a Hilbert space. Then for any \(x, y \in H\),
\[
\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + \langle y, x + y \rangle.
\]

**Lemma 2.9** ([15]). Let \(\{c_n\}\) and \(\{k_n\}\) be two real sequences of nonnegative numbers that satisfy the following conditions:

(i) \(0 \leq k_n < 1\) for \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\), and \(\lim sup_n k_n < 1\),

(ii) \(c_{n+1} \leq k_n c_n\) for \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\)

Then \(\{c_n\}\) converges to 0 as \(n \rightarrow \infty\).

**Assumption 2.10.** The operator \(P_{K_1(x)}\) satisfies the condition:
\[
\|P_{K_1(x_1)}(z) - P_{K_1(x_2)}(z)\| \leq \nu_1\|x_1 - x_2\|, \forall x_1, x_2, z \in H_1, \nu_1 > 0\]

is a constant.

3 Main Results

First we establish an equivalence between SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2) and system of projection equations and then using this equivalence to prove the existence of a solution of SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2).

**Lemma 3.1.** For any given \((x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2, u \in A(x), v \in B(y), w \in C(x), z \in D(y) : h_1(x) \in K_1(x), h_2(y) \in K_2(y), (x, y, u, v, w, z)\) is a solution of SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2) if and only if \((x, y, u, v, w, z)\) satisfies the system of projection equations
\[
h_1(x) = P_{K_1(x)}[g_1(x) - \rho_1 N_1(u, v)],
\]
\[
h_2(y) = P_{K_2(y)}[g_2(y) - \rho_2 N_2(w, z)],
\]
where \(\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0\) are constants.

Using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.1, we suggest and analyze the following iterative algorithm for finding the approximate solution of SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2) in Hilbert spaces.
Iterative Algorithm 3.2. For given \((x_0, y_0) \in H_1 \times H_2\), \(u_0 \in A(x_0), v_0 \in B(y_0), w_0 \in C(x_0), z_0 \in D(y_0)\), compute approximate solution \((x_n, y_n, u_n, v_n, w_n, z_n)\) given by iterative schemes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hspace{1cm} h_1(x_{n+1}) &= P_{K_1(x_n)}[g_1(x_n) - \mu_1 N_1(u_n, v_n)], \quad (3.1) \\
h_2(y_{n+1}) &= P_{K_2(y_n)}[g_2(y_n) - \mu_2 N_2(w_n, z_n)], \quad (3.2) \\
u_n &\in A(x_n) : \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq (1 + (1 + n)^{-1}) \mathcal{H}_1(A(x_{n+1}), A(x_n)), \quad (3.3) \\
v_n &\in B(y_n) : \|v_{n+1} - v_n\| \leq (1 + (1 + n)^{-1}) \mathcal{H}_2(B(y_{n+1}), B(y_n)), \quad (3.4) \\
w_n &\in C(x_n) : \|w_{n+1} - w_n\| \leq (1 + (1 + n)^{-1}) \mathcal{H}_1(C(x_{n+1}), C(x_n)), \quad (3.5) \\
z_n &\in D(y_n) : \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \leq (1 + (1 + n)^{-1}) \mathcal{H}_2(D(y_{n+1}), D(y_n)), \quad (3.6)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots; \ \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0\) are constants.

Now, we prove the existence of a solution of SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2) for some relaxed \((d, e)\)-cocoercive mappings which are not Lipschitz continuous and discuss the convergence analysis for Iterative Algorithm 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. Let \(A, C : H_1 \rightarrow CB(H_1)\) be \(\mu_1\mathcal{H}_1\)-Lipschitz, \(\mu_2\mathcal{H}_2\)-Lipschitz continuous and \(B, D : H_2 \rightarrow CB(H_2)\) be \(\eta_1\mathcal{H}_1\)-Lipschitz, \(\eta_2\mathcal{H}_2\)-Lipschitz continuous, respectively. Let the mapping \(N_1\) is \(\alpha_1\)-strongly monotone in the first argument with respect to \(A\) and \((\beta_1, \gamma_1)\)-mixed Lipschitz continuous; \(N_2\) be \(\alpha_2\)-strongly monotone in the second argument with respect to \(D\) and \((\beta_2, \gamma_2)\)-mixed Lipschitz continuous. For each \(i = 1, 2\), let \(h_i\) be relaxed \((d_i, e_i)\)-cocoercive mappings; \(g_i\) be \(\sigma_i\)-strongly monotone and \(\delta_i\)-Lipschitz continuous mappings. Let \(K_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_1\) and \(K_2 : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2\) be such that for each fixed \(x \in H_1, y \in H_2\), \(K_1(x)\) and \(K_2(y)\) are nonempty closed convex sets in \(H_1\) and \(H_2\), respectively. Suppose that there are constants \(\nu_1, \nu_2 > 0\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
||P_{K_1(x_1)}(x) - P_{K_1(x_2)}(x)|| &\leq \nu_1 \|x_1 - x_2\|, \ \forall x, x_1, x_2 \in H_1, \quad (3.7) \\
||P_{K_2(y_1)}(y) - P_{K_2(y_2)}(y)|| &\leq \nu_2 \|y_1 - y_2\|, \ \forall y, y_1, y_2 \in H_2, \quad (3.8)
\end{align*}
\]

and \(\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0\) satisfy the following condition:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1 + 2d_1}{2e_1 + 3} \left( \sqrt{1 - 2\sigma_1 + \delta_1^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\rho_1 \alpha_1 + \rho_1^2 \beta_1^2 \gamma_1^2} + \nu_1 + \rho_2 \beta_2 \mu_2 \right) < 1; \\
\frac{1 + 2d_2}{2e_2 + 3} \left( \sqrt{1 - 2\sigma_2 + \delta_2^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\rho_2 \alpha_2 + \rho_2^2 \beta_2 \mu_2} \right) + \nu_2 + \rho_1 \gamma_1 \eta_1 < 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Then iterative sequence \(\{(x_n, y_n, u_n, v_n, w_n, z_n)\}\) generated by Iterative Algorithm 3.2 converges strongly to \((x, y, u, v, w, z)\), a solution of SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2).

Proof. Since for each \(i = 1, 2\), \(h_i\) is relaxed \((d_i, e_i)\)-cocoercive and by using Lemma 2.8, we have the following estimate:
\[ \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2_1 = \|h_1(x_{n+1}) - h_1(x_n) + x_{n+1} - x_n - (h_1(x_{n+1}) - h_1(x_n))\|^2_1 \]
\[ \leq \|h_1(x_{n+1}) - h_1(x_n)\|^2_1 - 2(h_1(x_{n+1}) - h_1(x_n)) - 2x_n, x_{n+1} - x_n) \]
\[ \leq (1 + 2d_1)\|h_1(x_{n+1}) - h_1(x_n)\|^2_1 - (2 + 2e_1)\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2_1 \]

which implies that
\[ \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2d_1}{2e_1 + 3}} \|h_1(x_{n+1}) - h_1(x_n)\|_1. \quad (3.10) \]

Similarly, we have
\[ \|y_{n+1} - y_n\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2d_2}{2e_2 + 3}} \|h_2(y_{n+1}) - h_2(y_n)\|_2. \quad (3.11) \]

Now, we have
\[ \|h_1(x_{n+1}) - h_1(x_n)\|_1 \]
\[ = \|P_{K_1(x_n)}(g_1(x_n) - \rho_1N_1(u_n, v_n)) - P_{K_1(x_{n-1})}(g_1(x_{n-1}) - \rho_1N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))\|_1 \]
\[ \leq \|P_{K_1(x_n)}(g_1(x_n) - \rho_1N_1(u_n, v_n)) - P_{K_1(x_n)}(g_1(x_{n-1}) - \rho_1N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))\|_1 \]
\[ + \|P_{K_1(x_n)}(g_1(x_{n-1}) - \rho_1N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1})) - P_{K_1(x_{n-1})}(g_1(x_{n-1}) - \rho_1N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))\|_1 \]
\[ \leq \|g_1(x_n) - g_1(x_{n-1}) - (x_{n-1} - x_n)\|_1 \]
\[ + \|x_{n-1} - x_n - \rho_1(N_1(u_n, v_n) - N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))\|_1 \]
\[ + \rho_1\|N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) - N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1})\|_1 + \nu_1\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|_1. \quad (3.12) \]

Next, using \(\alpha_1\)-strongly monotonicity with respect to \(A\) in the first argument and \((\beta_1, \gamma_1)\)-mixed Lipschitz continuity of \(N_1(\cdot, \cdot)\); \(\mu_1\)-Lipschitz continuity of \(A\); \(\eta_1\)-Lipschitz continuity of \(B\), it follows that
\[ \|x_n - x_{n-1} - \rho_1(N_1(u_n, v_n) - N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))\|^2_1 \]
\[ \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2_1 - 2\rho_1\|N_1(u_n, v_n) - N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1})\|_1 \]
\[ + \rho_1^2\|N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) - N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1})\|^2_1 \]
\[ \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2_1 - 2\rho_1\alpha_1\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 + \rho_1^2\beta_1^2\mu_1^2(1 + (1 + n)^{-1})^2\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2_1 \]
\[ \leq (1 - 2\rho_1\alpha_1 + \rho_1^2\beta_1^2\mu_1^2(1 + (1 + n)^{-1})^2)\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2, \quad (3.13) \]

and
\[ \|N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) - N_1(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1})\|_1 \leq \gamma_1\eta_1(1 + (1 + n)^{-1})\|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2. \quad (3.14) \]

Similarly, we estimate
\[ \|x_n - x_{n-1} - (g_1(x_n) - g_1(x_{n-1}))\|^2_1 \leq (1 - 2\rho_1 + \delta_1^2)\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2_1, \quad (3.15) \]
where $q_1$ is $\sigma_1$-strongly monotone and $\delta_1$-mixed Lipschitz continuous.

From (3.10) and (3.12)-(3.15), we have

$$
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_1 \\
\leq \sqrt{\frac{1+2\mu_1}{2\epsilon_2 + 3}} \left[ \sqrt{1 - 2\sigma_1 + \delta_1^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\rho_1 \alpha_1 + \rho_1^2 \beta_1^2 \mu_1^2 (1 + (1 + n)^{-1})^2 + \nu_1} \right] \times \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|_1 + \rho_1 \gamma_1 n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1 + n} \right) \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|^2_2.
$$

(3.16)

Also, we have

$$
\|h_2(y_{n+1}) - h_2(y_n)\|_2 \\
= \|P_{K_2(y_n)}(g_2(y_n) - \rho_2 N_2(w_n, z_n)) - P_{K_2(y_n-1)}(g_2(y_{n-1}) - \rho_2 N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1}))\|_2 \\
\leq \|P_{K_2(y_n)}(g_2(y_n) - \rho_2 N_2(w_n, z_n)) - P_{K_2(y_n)}(g_2(y_{n-1}) - \rho_2 N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1}))\|_2 \\
+ \|P_{K_2(y_n)}(g_2(y_{n-1}) - \rho_2 N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1})) - P_{K_2(y_{n-1})}(g_2(y_{n-1})) - \rho_2 N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1}))\|_2 \\
\leq \|g_2(y_n) - g_2(y_{n-1}) - (y_{n-1} - y_n)\|_2 \\
+ \|y_{n-1} - y_n - \rho_2 (N_2(w_n, z_n) - N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1}))\|_2 \\
+ \rho_2 \|N_2(w_n, z_n) - N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1})\|_2 + \nu_2 \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2.
$$

(3.17)

Next, using $\alpha_2$-strongly monotonicity with respect to $D$ in the second argument and $(\beta_2, \gamma_2)$-mixed Lipschitz continuity of $N_2(\cdot, \cdot)$; $\mu_2$-${\mathcal H}_1$-Lipschitz continuity of $C$; $\eta_2$-${\mathcal H}_2$-Lipschitz continuity of $D$, it follows that

$$
\|y_n - y_{n-1} - \rho_2 (N_2(w_n, z_n) - N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1}))\|_2^2 \\
\leq \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2^2 - 2\rho_2 \|N_2(w_n, z_n) - N_2(w_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\|_2 \\
+ \rho_2 \|N_2(w_n, z_n) - N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1})\|_2^2 \\
\leq \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2^2 - 2\rho_2 \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2^2 + \rho_2^2 \gamma_2^2 \eta_2^2 (1 + (1 + n)^{-1})^2 \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2^2 \\
\leq (1 - 2\rho_2 \alpha_2 + \rho_2^2 \gamma_2^2 \eta_2^2 (1 + (1 + n)^{-1})^2) \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2^2.
$$

(3.18)

and

$$
\|N_2(w_n, z_{n-1}) - N_2(w_{n-1}, z_{n-1})\|_2 \leq \beta_2 \mu_2 (1 + (1 + n)^{-1}) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|_1.
$$

(3.19)

Similarly, we estimate

$$
\|y_n - y_{n-1} - (g_2(y_n) - g_2(y_{n-1}))\|_2^2 \leq (1 - 2\sigma_2 + \delta_2) \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2^2,
$$

(3.20)

where $g_2$ is $\sigma_2$-strongly monotone and $\delta_2$-mixed Lipschitz continuous.

From (3.11) and (3.17)-(3.20), we have

$$
\|y_{n+1} - y_n\|_2 \\
\leq \sqrt{\frac{1+2\mu_2}{2\epsilon_2 + 3}} \left[ \sqrt{1 - 2\sigma_2 + \delta_2^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\rho_2 \alpha_2 + \rho_2^2 \gamma_2^2 \eta_2^2 (1 + (1 + n)^{-1})^2 + \nu_2} \right] \times \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2 + \rho_2 \beta_2 \mu_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1 + n} \right) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|_1
$$

(3.21)
From (3.16) and (3.21), we have
\[\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_1 + \|y_{n+1} - y_n\|_2 = k_1^n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|_1 + k_2^n \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2 \leq \theta^n (\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|_1 + \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|_2),\] (3.22)
where \(\theta^n = \max\{k_1^n, k_2^n\}\),
\[
\begin{cases}
k_1^n := \sqrt{\frac{1+2\rho_1}{2\rho_1 + 3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\rho_1 + \beta_1^2 + \sqrt{1 - 2\rho_1 \alpha_1 + \rho_1 \beta_1^2 \mu_1^2} \right) + \nu_1 + \rho_2 \beta_2 \mu_2 L^n, \\
k_2^n := \sqrt{\frac{1+2\rho_2}{2\rho_2 + 3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\rho_2 + \beta_2^2 + \sqrt{1 - 2\rho_2 \alpha_2 + \rho_2 \beta_2^2 \mu_2^2} \right) + \nu_2 + \rho_1 \gamma_1 \eta_1 L^n,
\end{cases}
\]
\(L^n := (1 + (1 + n)^{-1}).\) (3.23)

Letting \(\theta^n \to \theta\) as \(n \to \infty\) \((k_1^n \to k_1, k_2^n \to k_2\) as \(n \to \infty\)), where \(\theta = \max\{k_1, k_2\}\);
\[
\begin{cases}
k_1 := \frac{1+2\rho_1}{2\rho_1 + 3} \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\rho_1 \alpha_1 + \rho_1 \beta_1^2 \mu_1^2 + \nu_1 + \rho_2 \beta_2 \mu_2 \right), \\
k_2 := \frac{1+2\rho_2}{2\rho_2 + 3} \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\rho_2 \alpha_2 + \rho_2 \beta_2^2 \mu_2^2 + \nu_2 + \rho_1 \gamma_1 \eta_1 \right).
\end{cases}
\] (3.24)

Now, define the norm \(\|\cdot\|_*\) on \(H_1 \times H_2\) by
\[\|(x, y)\|_* = \|x\|_1 + \|y\|_2, \forall (x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2.\] (3.25)
It is observe that \((H_1 \times H_2, \|\cdot\|_*)\) is a Banach space. Hence (3.22) implies that
\[\|(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) - (x_n, y_n)\|_* \leq \theta \|(x_n, y_n) - (x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\|_* .\] (3.26)

By condition (3.24), it follows that \(\theta < 1\). Hence \(\theta^n < 1\) for sufficiently large \(n\). Therefore, (3.26) implies that \((x_n, y_n)\) is a Cauchy sequence in \(H_1 \times H_2\). Let \((x, y) \to (x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2\) as \(n \to \infty\). By \(\mu_1, H\)-Lipschitz continuity of \(A\) and Iterative Algorithm 3.2, we have
\[\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_1 \leq (1 + (1 + n)^{-1}) \mathcal{H}_1(A(x_n), A(x_{n-1})) \leq (1 + (1 + n)^{-1}) \mu_1 \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|_1.\] (3.27)

Since \(\{x_n\}\) is a Cauchy sequence in \(H_1\). Hence there exists \(u \in H_1\) such that \(u_n \to u\) as \(n \to \infty\). Similarly, we can show that \(\{v_n\} \in H_2, \{w_n\} \in H_1\) and \(\{z_n\} \in H_2\) are Cauchy sequences and hence there exist \(v \in H_2, w \in H_1\) and \(z \in H_2\) such that \(v_n \to v, \{w_n\} \to w\) and \(\{z_n\} \to z\) as \(n \to \infty\).

Next, we claim that \(u \in A(x)\). Since \(u_{n-1} \in A(x_{n-1})\), we have
\[d(u, A(x)) \leq \|u - u_{n-1}\|_1 + d(u_{n-1}, A(x)) \leq \|u - u_{n-1}\|_1 + \mathcal{H}_1(A(x_{n-1}), A(x)) \leq \|u - u_{n-1}\|_1 + \mu_1 \|x_{n-1} - x\|_1 \to 0\] as \(n \to \infty\). (3.28)
Since $A(x)$ is closed, we have $u \in A(x)$. Similarly, we can show that $v \in B(y), w \in C(x)$ and $z \in D(y)$. Furthermore, continuity of the mappings $A, B, C, D, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2, K_1, K_2, N_1, N_2, P_{K_1(x)}, P_{K_2(y)}$ and Iterative Algorithm 3.2 gives that

$$h_1(x) = P_{K_1(x)}[g_1(x) - \rho_1 N_1(u, v)],$$ (3.29)

$$h_2(x) = P_{K_2(x)}[g_2(y) - \rho_2 N_2(w, z)].$$ (3.30)

Finally, we define

$$w_1 = P_{K_1(x)}[g_1(x) - \rho_1 N_1(u, v)],$$ (3.31)

$$w_2 = P_{K_2(x)}[g_2(y) - \rho_2 N_2(w, z)].$$ (3.32)

Now, we estimate:

$$\|h_1(x_{n+1}) - w_1\|_1 \leq \left(\frac{1 - 2\sigma_1 + \delta_1^2}{1 - 2\rho_1 \alpha_1} + \frac{1 - 2\rho_1 \alpha_1 + \rho_1 \beta_1 \mu_1^2 (L^n)^2 + \nu_1}{1 - 2\rho_1 \alpha_1 + \rho_1 \beta_1 \mu_1^2 (L^n)^2 + \nu_1}\right) \|x_n - x\|_1$$

$$+ \rho_1 \gamma_1 \eta_1 L^n \|y_n - y\|_2,$$ (3.33)

and

$$\|h_2(x_{n+1}) - w_2\|_2 \leq \left(\frac{1 - 2\sigma_2 + \delta_2^2}{1 - 2\rho_2 \alpha_2} + \frac{1 - 2\rho_2 \alpha_2 + \rho_2 \beta_2 \eta_2^2 (L^n)^2 + \nu_2}{1 - 2\rho_2 \alpha_2 + \rho_2 \beta_2 \eta_2^2 (L^n)^2 + \nu_2}\right) \|y_n - y\|_2$$

$$+ \rho_2 \beta_2 \mu_2 L^n \|x_n - x\|_1^2.$$ (3.34)

Now, it follows from (3.25), (3.33) and (3.34) that

$$\|(h_1(x_{n+1}), h_2(y_{n+1})) - (w_1, w_2)\|_* = \|h_1(x_{n+1}) - w_1\|_1 + \|h_2(y_{n+1}) - w_2\|_2$$

$$\leq \theta^n (\|x_n - x\|_1 + \|y_n - y\|_2)$$

$$\to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$ (3.35)

Thus,

$$h_1(x) = w_1 = P_{K_1(x)}[g_1(x) - \rho_1 N_1(u, v)],$$ (3.36)

$$h_2(y) = w_2 = P_{K_2(y)}[g_2(y) - \rho_2 N_2(w, z)].$$ (3.37)

By Lemma 3.1, it follows that $(x, y, u, v, w, z)$ is a solution of SMEGVIP (2.1)-(2.2). This completes the proof. \qed

**Remark 3.4.**

(i) For $i = 1, 2$, it is clear that $\sigma_i \leq \delta_i$. Further, $\theta < 1$ and condition (3.9) holds for some suitable set values of constants, for example,

- $\alpha_1 = 0.4, \beta_1 = 0.4, \gamma_1 = 0.1, \sigma_1 = 0.1, \delta_1 = 0.2, \mu_1 = 1, \eta_1 = 1, \nu_1 = 0.1, \rho_1 = 0.1.$
- $\alpha_2 = 0.2, \beta_2 = 0.3, \gamma_2 = 0.2, \sigma_2 = 0.2, \delta_2 = 0.3, \mu_2 = 1, \eta_2 = 1, \nu_2 = 0.2, \rho_2 = 0.2.$
(ii) The proof of theorem presented in this paper for SMEGQVIP (2.1)-(2.2) under the assumption of relaxed $(d,e)$-cocoercivity on mappings $h_1$ and $h_2$, need further research effort.

(iii) Using the method presented in this paper, one can extend the existence result for the system of $n$-generalized quasi-variational inequality problems.
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